

DEDEKIND DOMAINS AND UNIQUE FACTORIZATION OF IDEALS

RECALL THAT IF K IS A NUMBER FIELD, THEN \mathcal{O}_K IS A FINITELY GENERATED ALGEBRAIC \mathbb{Z} -MODULE, AND THEREFORE \mathcal{O}_K IS NOETHERIAN.

DEF IF R IS AN INTEGRAL DOMAIN, ITS FIELD OF FRACTIONS IS GIVEN BY

THEORY $\text{Frac}(R) = \{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in R, b \neq 0 \} / \sim \quad \frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d} \iff ad = bc$

EX IF $R = \mathbb{Z}$; $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Q}$

M. LALIN $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{4\sqrt{5}}{2}]) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ MORE GENERALLY, $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_K) = K$, SINCE $\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{O}_K = K$

DEF AN INTEGRAL DOMAIN R IS INTEGRALLY CLOSED (IN ITS FIELD OF FRACTIONS)

IF WHENEVER $\alpha \in \text{Frac}(R)$ AND α SATISFIES A MONIC POLYNOMIAL $f \in R[x]$, THEN $\alpha \in R$.

EX $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{4\sqrt{5}}{2}]$ IS INTEGRALLY CLOSED IN ITS FIELD OF FRACTIONS $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ BUT $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ IS NOT.

PROP: LET K BE A NUMBER FIELD. THEN \mathcal{O}_K IS INTEGRALLY CLOSED. THE RING $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ OF ALGEBRAIC INTEGERS IS INTEGRALLY CLOSED.

PROOF: SUPPOSE THAT $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ IS INTEGRAL OVER $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. THERE IS $f(x) \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[x]$.

$f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$, $f(\alpha) = 0$. THE a_i LIE IN \mathcal{O}_K FOR SOME NUMBER FIELD $K = \mathbb{Q}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ SINCE \mathcal{O}_K IS A FINITELY GENERATED

\mathbb{Z} -MODULE, IT IS NOETHERIAN, AND $\mathbb{Z}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ IS A FINITELY GENERATED

\mathbb{Z} -MODULE; SINCE $f(\alpha) = 0$, $\alpha^n = -(a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\alpha + a_0)$ AND $\mathbb{Z}[a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, \alpha]$

IS ALSO A FINITELY GENERATED \mathbb{Z} -MODULE AND NOETHERIAN $\implies \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$

FINITELY GENERATED \mathbb{Z} -MODULE $\implies \alpha$ INTEGRAL OVER \mathbb{Z} .

FOR \mathcal{O}_K , WE HAVE $\mathcal{O}_K = \overline{\mathbb{Z}} \cap K$, $\alpha \in K$ INTEGRAL OVER \mathcal{O}_K , THEN α

IS INTEGRAL OVER $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \implies \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}} \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$

DEF AN INTEGRAL DOMAIN R IS A DEDEKIND DOMAIN IF

- ① IT IS NOETHERIAN
- ② EVERY NONZERO PRIME IDEAL OF R IS MAXIMAL
- ③ R IS INTEGRALLY CLOSED.

EX $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ IS NOT A DEDEKIND DOMAIN (NOT AN INTEGRAL DOMAIN)

$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ IS NOT A DEDEKIND DOMAIN (NOT INTEGRALLY CLOSED)

\mathbb{Z} IS A DEDEKIND DOMAIN.

PROP LET K BE A NUMBER FIELD. THEN \mathcal{O}_K IS A DEDEKIND DOMAIN.

PROOF WE SAW THAT \mathcal{O}_K IS NOETHERIAN AND INTEGRALLY CLOSED. SUPPOSED THAT

21

\mathfrak{P} is a nonzero prime ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x]$. We will look at $\mathbb{C}[x]/\mathfrak{P}$ and prove that it is a field. Let $a \in \mathfrak{P}$, $a \neq 0$, and $m_a(x)$ its minimal polynomial.

Algebraic Number Theory: $m_a(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 = -(x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x) \in \mathfrak{P}$

Then $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{C}[x]/\mathfrak{P}$, we have $a_0\beta = 0$ since $a_0 \in \mathfrak{P}$. $\mathbb{C}[x]/\mathfrak{P}$ is a finite set. Also $\mathbb{C}[x]/\mathfrak{P}$ is an integral domain (since \mathfrak{P} prime). Then it

$x^n + y^n = z^n$ is a field $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ maximal \neq

M. Lalin: If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ ideals in a ring R , then

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \langle ab \mid a \in \mathfrak{a}, b \in \mathfrak{b} \rangle \text{ is an ideal of } R.$$

DEF: Let R be a Dedekind domain and let $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. A fractional ideal is a nonzero finitely generated R -submodule \mathfrak{a} of K .

Sometimes the ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ are called integral ideals.

EX: Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, $\mathbb{C}[x] = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ then $\mathfrak{a} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a fractional ideal.

$\mathfrak{a} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2} + i\mathbb{Z}$ is not a fractional ideal $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathfrak{a}$ but $i \notin \mathfrak{a}$

In general $\exists a \in K$ such that $a\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \subseteq R$ (\mathfrak{b} integral ideal).

This is because \mathfrak{a} is finitely generated. Then, every fractional ideal

\mathfrak{a} can be written as $\mathfrak{b} = \{ \sum \beta_i b_i \mid b_i \in \mathfrak{b} \}$, $\beta_i \in K$, $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq R$ integral ideal.

THM: The set of fractional ideals of a Dedekind domain R is an abelian group under ideal multiplication with identity element R .

DEF: Let R be a Dedekind domain, $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq R$ integral ideals. Then we say that \mathfrak{a} divides \mathfrak{b} if $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

EX: Take $K = \mathbb{Q}$; $\mathbb{C}[x] = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{a} = (a)$, $\mathfrak{b} = (b)$. Then $\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{b} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow (b) \subseteq (a) \Leftrightarrow a \mid b$.

LEMMA: Let R be an integral domain, $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq R$ ideals, $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq R$ prime ideal. If $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$, then $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ or $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$.

PROOF: Suppose not, then $\exists a \in \mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{P}$, $b \in \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{P}$, and $ab \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ contradiction \neq

LEMMA: Let R be a Dedekind domain, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ nonzero integral ideal.

There are $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n$ prime ideals such that $\mathfrak{P}_1 \dots \mathfrak{P}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{P}_1 \dots \mathfrak{P}_n$)

PROOF: Let $S = \{ \mathfrak{a} \subseteq R \text{ integral ideal} \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{P}_1 \dots \mathfrak{P}_n \}$. We want to prove that $S \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $S \neq \emptyset$, $\exists \mathfrak{a} \in S$ such that it is a maximal element of S . Clearly \mathfrak{a} is not a prime ideal. Then $\exists a, b \in R$, $ab \in \mathfrak{a}$ but $a, b \notin \mathfrak{a}$. Let $\mathfrak{b}_1 = \mathfrak{a} + (a)$, $\mathfrak{b}_2 = \mathfrak{a} + (b)$. Then $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq \mathfrak{b}_i$, $\mathfrak{b}_i \notin S$

22

THEOREM, $b_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$, $b_2 \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s$ AND $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r, \mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s \subseteq b_1, b_2 = \alpha^2 + \alpha(b) + (a)\alpha + (ab) \in \alpha$ CONTRADICTION $\Rightarrow S = \emptyset \neq$

ALGEBRAIC EX IN $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$, $(2) = (2, 1+\sqrt{-5})(2, 1-\sqrt{-5})$ $(1+\sqrt{-5}) = (2, 1+\sqrt{-5})(3, 1+\sqrt{-5})$

NUMBER LEMMA LET R BE A DEDEKIND DOMAIN AND LET α BE A NONZERO

THEORY INTEGRAL IDEAL: $\exists \gamma \in K \setminus R$ SUCH THAT $\gamma\alpha \in R$

$x^n + y^n = z^n$ M. LALIN PROOF FIX $b \in \alpha, b \neq 0$. BY THE PREVIOUS LEMMA, $(b) \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$. CHOOSE

THE PRODUCT SUCH THAT r IS MINIMIZED, SINCE EVERY IDEAL IS CONTAINED IN A MAXIMAL IDEAL, THERE IS \mathfrak{p} SUCH THAT $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$. THUS $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$

IT FOLLOWS THAT $\exists a$ SUCH THAT $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. ASSUME WITHOUT LOSS OF GENERALITY THAT $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. BECAUSE PRIME IDEALS ARE MAXIMAL, $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}$. SINCE r IS

MINIMAL, $\mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \not\subseteq (b)$ AND $\exists a \in \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \setminus (b)$. THEN $\gamma = \frac{a}{b} \in K \setminus R$

WE HAVE $\frac{a}{b} \alpha \in (\frac{1}{b})\mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \alpha \subseteq (\frac{1}{b})\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq (\frac{1}{b})(b) = R \neq$

PROP LET R BE A DEDEKIND DOMAIN AND LET α BE A NONZERO INTEGRAL IDEAL OF R . THEN $\exists b$ INTEGRAL IDEAL SUCH THAT αb IS PRINCIPAL. (IN OTHER WORDS, $\alpha b \subseteq (a)$, AND WE MAY DENOTE $\alpha^{-1} = a^{-1}b$)

PROOF: LET $\alpha \in \alpha, \alpha \neq 0$; AND SET $b = \{ \beta \in R \mid \beta\alpha \subseteq (\alpha) \}$

THEN $\alpha \subseteq b$. IF $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in b$, $(\beta_1 + \beta_2)\alpha \subseteq \beta_1\alpha + \beta_2\alpha \subseteq (\alpha) + (\alpha) = (\alpha)$

IF $s \in R$, $\beta \in b$; $s\beta\alpha \subseteq (s\alpha) \subseteq (\alpha) \Rightarrow b$ IDEAL.

THEREFORE, $\alpha b \subseteq (\alpha)$ AND WE NEED EQUALITY. SUPPOSE $\alpha b \neq (\alpha)$

LET $A = \alpha b \subseteq R$. THEN A IS AN IDEAL. IF $A = R$, THEN $\alpha b = (\alpha)$.

OTHERWISE, A IS PROPER. $\exists \gamma \in K \setminus R$ SUCH THAT $\gamma A \subseteq R$. NOTICE

THAT $A = \alpha b \supseteq b$ SINCE $\alpha \in \alpha$. THUS $\gamma b \subseteq \gamma A \subseteq R$ AND $\gamma \alpha b \in R$

$\Rightarrow \gamma \alpha b \subseteq (\alpha)$ SINCE $b = \{ \beta \in R \mid \beta\alpha \subseteq (\alpha) \}$, WE GET $\gamma b \subseteq b$

NOW FIX a_1, \dots, a_n A GENERATING SET FOR b . WE USE $\gamma b \subseteq b$ AND GET

$$M \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma a_1 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma a_n \end{pmatrix}, \text{ WHERE } M \in R^{n \times n} \text{ AND } \gamma \text{ IS AN EIGENVALUE.}$$

IS A ROOT OF A MONIC POLYNOMIAL WITH COEFFICIENTS IN M , AND SINCE

$\gamma \in K$, AND R IS INTEGRALLY CLOSED WE GET $\gamma \in R$, A CONTRADICTION: \neq

PROOF OF THM THE PRODUCT OF TWO FRACTIONAL IDEALS IS FINITELY GENERATED AND THEREFORE, A FRACTIONAL IDEAL.

SINCE $\alpha R = \alpha$, WE HAVE THAT R IS THE IDENTITY. WE HAVE JUST SEEN

THAT INTEGRAL IDEALS HAVE INVERSES GIVEN BY $\alpha^{-1} = a^{-1}b$. FOR A FRACTIONAL

23

IDEAL α , TAKE $\alpha \in R$ SUCH THAT $d\alpha$ IS AN INTEGRAL IDEAL. THUS

$$\alpha^{-1} = \alpha (\alpha\alpha)^{-1} \neq \emptyset$$

ALGEBRAIC LET G BE THE GROUP OF FRACTIONAL IDEALS AND DEFINE AN EQUIVALENCE

NUMBER RELATION $a \sim b$ IFF $\exists \alpha \in R$ SUCH THAT $b = \alpha a$

THEORY DEF THE GROUP G/\sim IS CALLED THE IDEAL CLASS GROUP, IT IS DENOTED

$$x^n + y^n = z^n \text{ BY } C_k \text{ OR } C(k)$$

M. LALIN CORO: LET R BE A DEDEKIND DOMAIN AND LET α, b, c BE FRACTIONAL

$$\text{IDEALS. THEN } \alpha b = \alpha c \Rightarrow b = c$$

PROOF: MULTIPLY BY α^{-1} IN BOTH SIDES \neq

CORO: LET α, b BE INTEGRAL IDEALS. THEN $\alpha | b$ (OR $b \subseteq \alpha$) IFF

$$\exists c \text{ INTEGRAL IDEAL SUCH THAT } \alpha c = b$$

PROOF: \Rightarrow) ASSUME $b \subseteq \alpha$. THEN $\exists D$ SUCH THAT $\alpha D = (\alpha)$. TAKE

$$c = \frac{1}{\alpha} D b. \text{ THEN } \alpha c = \alpha \frac{1}{\alpha} D b = b$$

$$\Leftarrow) \alpha c \subseteq \alpha \Rightarrow b \subseteq \alpha \neq \emptyset.$$

THE EVERY INTEGRAL IDEAL IN A DEDEKIND DOMAIN R IS UNIQUELY

REPRESENTABLE AS THE PRODUCT OF PRIME IDEALS.

PROOF: LET $S = \{ \alpha \in R \text{ INTEGRAL IDEAL} \mid \alpha \text{ IS NOT A PRODUCT OF PRIMES} \}$

WE WANT TO SEE $S = \emptyset$. IF NOT, LET $\alpha \in S$ MAXIMAL. THEN $\alpha \subseteq \emptyset$

FOR SOME \emptyset IF $\alpha \emptyset^{-1} = \alpha$, THEN WE GET $\emptyset^{-1} = R$; A CONTRADICTION SINCE

$\emptyset \neq R$. THUS $\alpha \not\subseteq \alpha \emptyset^{-1} \Rightarrow \alpha \emptyset^{-1} \neq \alpha$ AND $\exists \emptyset_1, \dots, \emptyset_n$ SUCH THAT

$$\alpha \emptyset^{-1} = \emptyset_1 \dots \emptyset_n \Rightarrow \alpha = \emptyset \emptyset_1 \dots \emptyset_n \text{ CONTRADICTION} \Rightarrow S = \emptyset.$$

NOW SUPPOSE $\emptyset_1 \dots \emptyset_n = \emptyset_1' \dots \emptyset_m'$. THIS IMPLIES $\emptyset_1' \mid \emptyset_1 \dots \emptyset_n$ AND

THEREFORE, THERE IS i SUCH THAT $\emptyset_1' \mid \emptyset_i$. SAY $\emptyset_1' \mid \emptyset_i$. THEN $\emptyset_1' = \emptyset_i$

WE CANCEL IN BOTH SIDES AND CONTINUE. \neq

THE IF α IS A FRACTIONAL IDEAL OF R , THEN $\exists \emptyset_1, \dots, \emptyset_n, \emptyset_1', \dots, \emptyset_m'$ SUCH

$$\text{THAT } \alpha \in \emptyset_1 \dots \emptyset_n (\emptyset_1' \dots \emptyset_m')^{-1}$$

PROOF LET $d \in R, d\alpha$ INTEGRAL, $d\alpha = \emptyset_1 \dots \emptyset_n$ TAKE $(\alpha) = \emptyset_1 \dots \emptyset_n \neq \emptyset$

EX IF $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6}), \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-6}]$, THEN $\mathcal{O}_K = \sqrt{-6}(-\sqrt{-6}) = 2 \cdot 3$

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})/\mathbb{Q}}(2) = 4, N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})/\mathbb{Q}}(3) = 9, N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{-6}) = 6$$

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})/\mathbb{Q}}(a + b\sqrt{-6}) = a^2 + 6b^2 \neq 2, 3 \Rightarrow \sqrt{-6}, 2, 3 \text{ IRREDUCIBLE.}$$

$$(2, 2 + \sqrt{-6})(3, 3 + \sqrt{-6}) = (6, 6 + 3\sqrt{-6}, 6 + 2\sqrt{-6}, 5\sqrt{-6}) = (\sqrt{-6})$$

$$\subseteq \text{CLEAR, } \exists \sqrt{-6} = (6 + 3\sqrt{-6}) - (6 + 2\sqrt{-6})$$

24

$$(2, 2+\sqrt{-6})^2 = (4, 4+2\sqrt{-6}, -2+4\sqrt{-6}) = (2)$$

$$\subseteq \text{CCD} \quad \geq \quad 2 = 2(4+2\sqrt{-6}) - (-2+4\sqrt{-6}) - 2 \cdot 4.$$

ALGEBRAIC SIMILARLY, $(3, 3+3\sqrt{-6})^2 = (8)$

NUMBER THEORY DEF LET R BE A DEDEKIND DOMAIN. LET α, b BE INTEGRAL IDEALS.

THEY WITH FACTORIZATION $\alpha = \wp_1^{m_1} \dots \wp_r^{m_r}$ $b = \wp_1^{n_1} \dots \wp_r^{n_r}$ $m_i \geq 0, n_i \geq 0$

$x^n + y^n = z^n$ THE **GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR** IS GIVEN BY $(\alpha, b) = \wp_1^{\min(m_1, n_1)} \dots \wp_r^{\min(m_r, n_r)}$

M. LALIN THE **LEAST COMMON MULTIPLE** IS GIVEN BY $[\alpha, b] = \wp_1^{\max(m_1, n_1)} \dots \wp_r^{\max(m_r, n_r)}$

(α, b) IS THE **SHALLEST** IDEAL CONTAINING BOTH α AND b .

$[\alpha, b]$ IS THE **LARGEST** IDEAL CONTAINED IN BOTH α AND b

THEN $(\alpha, b) = \alpha + b$, $[\alpha, b] = \alpha \cap b$

α AND b ARE SAID TO BE **COPRIME** IF $\alpha + b = (1) = R$.

LEMMA IF α, b ARE COPRIME, THEN $\alpha \cap b = \alpha b$.

PROOF LET $a \in \alpha, b \in b$ SUCH THAT $a+b=1$. IF $c \in \alpha \cap b$, THEN $c = ca + cb \in \alpha b \Rightarrow \alpha \cap b \subseteq \alpha b$. THE OTHER INCLUSION COMES FROM $\alpha b \subseteq \alpha, \alpha b \subseteq b$. #

THM (CHINESE REMAINDER THEOREM) LET $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ BE NONZERO IDEALS IN A DEDEKIND DOMAIN R SUCH THAT $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = R \quad \forall i \neq j$. THEN

$$R / \prod_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \cong R/\alpha_1 \oplus R/\alpha_2 \oplus \dots \oplus R/\alpha_s$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^s R/\alpha_i$$

THUS, GIVEN $a_i \in R \quad i=1, \dots, s$, $\exists a \in R$ SUCH THAT $a \equiv a_i \pmod{\alpha_i}$ AND a IS UNIQUE MODULO $\prod_{i=1}^s \alpha_i$.

PROOF: LET $\varphi: R \rightarrow R/\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R/\alpha_s$ BE THE NATURAL MAP INDUCED BY REDUCTION MODULO α_i .

THE KERNEL IS GIVEN BY $\prod_{i=1}^s \alpha_i$, THEN THE QUOTIENT MAP IS INJECTIVE. EACH PROJECTION $R \rightarrow R/\alpha_i$ IS SURJECTIVE. TO PROVE THAT φ IS SURJECTIVE

WE NEED TO PROVE THAT $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ IS IN $\text{Im } \varphi$.

SINCE $b = \prod_{i \neq n} \alpha_i$ IS COPRIME TO α_n , $\exists a \in \alpha_n, b \in b$ SUCH THAT $a+b=1$. THEN b MAPS TO $1 \in R/\alpha_n$ AND TO 0 IN R/α_i FOR $i \neq n$, SINCE $b \subseteq \alpha_i$. #

THM LET α BE AN INTEGRAL IDEAL IN A DEDEKIND DOMAIN R , AND LET

$a \in \alpha \neq 0$ THEN THERE EXISTS $b \in \alpha$ SUCH THAT $\alpha = (a, b)$

PROOF: WE NEED TO FIND b SUCH THAT $\alpha = (a, b)$

25

Let $\alpha = p_1^{h_1} \dots p_r^{h_r}$ then (a) is divisible by all $p_i^{h_i}$. Let q_1, \dots, q_s be the other primes that divide (a). We need a b such that no q_i divides (b) and such that $p_i^{h_i}$ is the exact power of p_i dividing (b). We need

Theory

$$b \in \bigcap_{i=1}^r (p_i^{h_i} - p_i^{h_i+1}) \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^s (R - q_j)$$

Fix $\beta_i \in p_i^{h_i} - p_i^{h_i+1} \pmod{p_i^{h_i+1}}$ (non-empty by unique factorization). By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there is a b such that

$$b \equiv \beta_i \pmod{p_i^{h_i+1}} \quad i=1, \dots, r \quad \text{and} \quad b \equiv 1 \pmod{q_j} \quad j=1, \dots, s \neq$$

Prop Let \mathfrak{p} be a nonzero prime ideal in a Dedekind domain R . Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $\mathfrak{p}^n / \mathfrak{p}^{n+1} \cong R/\mathfrak{p}$ as R -modules.

Proof: Since $\mathfrak{p}^n \neq \mathfrak{p}^{n+1} \Rightarrow \exists b \in \mathfrak{p}^n - \mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$. Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^n / \mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$ given by $\varphi(a) = ab$. Clearly $\ker \varphi = \mathfrak{p}$, since $\varphi(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ and $\varphi(a) = 0 \Rightarrow ab \in \mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1} \mid (ab) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \mid (a)$. Thus φ induces an injective homomorphism $R/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^n / \mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$. Now let us prove surjective. Suppose $c \in \mathfrak{p}^n$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$\exists d \in R$ such that $d \equiv c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}}$, $d \equiv 0 \pmod{(b)/\mathfrak{p}^n}$. We have $\mathfrak{p}^n \mid (d)$ since $d \in \mathfrak{p}^n$ (since $c \in \mathfrak{p}^n$) and $(b)/\mathfrak{p}^n \mid (d)$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \nmid (b)/\mathfrak{p}^n$, we have $(b) = \mathfrak{p}^n (b)/\mathfrak{p}^n \mid (d) \Rightarrow d/b \in R$. $\varphi(d/b) = \frac{1}{b} b \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}} \equiv d \equiv c \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}}$ and φ is surjective \neq

That a Dedekind domain is a UFD iff it is a PID. Proof: We know that PID \Rightarrow UFD in general. Now assume that a Dedekind domain R is a UFD. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in R . Then there is $a \in R$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \mid (a)$. By factoring a into primes in R ; $a = p_1^{h_1} \dots p_r^{h_r} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \mid (p_1)^{h_1} \dots (p_r)^{h_r}$ and each (p_i) is a prime ideal. By unique factorization into prime ideals, it follows that $\mathfrak{a} = (p_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (p_r)^{\alpha_r}$ with $\alpha_i \leq h_i$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}) \neq$

Factoring Primes in Extensions

Consider $5 \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is a prime. However, $5 = (1+2i)(1-2i)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, 5 is not longer a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. On the other hand, 3 is a prime both in \mathbb{Z} and in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Finally, $2 = (1+i)(1-i) = -i(1+i)^2$. We also saw, in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$, $(2) = (2, 1+\sqrt{-5})^2$ $(3) = (3, 1+\sqrt{-5})(3, 1-\sqrt{-5})$

26

We say that \mathfrak{p} splits in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ or \mathfrak{p} splits in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$

If we have an extension of number fields L/K with \mathfrak{p} a

algebraic prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K , the question is, what happens to the prime

number decomposition of $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$ in \mathcal{O}_L ?

They say let \mathfrak{p} be a nonzero prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K and \mathfrak{q} a nonzero prime

ideal of \mathcal{O}_L . The following are equivalent.

- ① $\mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$ ② $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$ ③ $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ ④ $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}$ ⑤ $\mathfrak{q} \cap K = \mathfrak{p}$

Proof ① \Leftrightarrow ② by definition

② \Leftrightarrow ③ trivial, since $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$, thus $\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{q}$

④ \Rightarrow ③ trivial. ④ \Leftrightarrow ⑤ trivial since $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{q}}$

We need ③ \Rightarrow ④ $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ clearly and $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ is an ideal

in \mathcal{O}_K since \mathfrak{p} is maximal, $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}$ or $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K$. If

$\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K$, we get $1 \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathcal{O}_L$, a contradiction \neq

Def In the case above, we say that \mathfrak{q} lies over \mathfrak{p} or \mathfrak{p} lies under \mathfrak{q}

They say every nonzero prime \mathfrak{q} of \mathcal{O}_L lies over a unique nonzero prime

\mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K . Every nonzero prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K lies under at least

one nonzero prime \mathfrak{q} of \mathcal{O}_L

Proof First we show that $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ is a nonzero prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K .

Since $1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$, it is proper. If $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $ab \in \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$, then $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$.

$\Rightarrow a \in \mathfrak{q}$ or $b \in \mathfrak{q}$ (say $a \in \mathfrak{q}$) $\Rightarrow a \in \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K \Rightarrow \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ is prime.

To prove nonzero, take $a \in \mathfrak{q}$ $a \neq 0$ then $N_{L/K}(a) \in \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ and $N_{L/K}(a) \neq 0$.

We need to show that $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L \neq \mathcal{O}_L$ so that there is at least one prime

divisor. $\exists \gamma \in K \setminus \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\gamma \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $\gamma \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$ If

$\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_L$, then $1 \in \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L \Rightarrow \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_L \Rightarrow \gamma \in \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ contradiction \neq

Def The exponents of the primes lying over \mathfrak{p} are called ramification

indexes. If $\mathfrak{q}^e | \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$ and $\mathfrak{q}^{e+1} \nmid \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$, we write $\mathfrak{q}^e || \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L$ and

$e = e(\mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{p})$

Ex If $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}$, $(1+i)$ lies over (2)

$(1+i)$ is a prime ideal because $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/(1+i) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (a field)

$(2)\mathcal{O}_L = (1+i)^2 \Rightarrow e(1+i | (2)) = 2$

If p is prime in \mathbb{Z} ; $p \neq 2$ $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $p = a^2 + b^2$

27 $(p)\mathcal{O}_K = (a+bi)(a-bi) \quad \mathbb{Z}[i]/(a+bi) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}. \quad e((a+bi)|(p)) = 1.$

IF p IS PRIME IN \mathbb{Z} $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ IT REMAINS PRIME IN $\mathbb{Z}[i]$,

ALGEBRAIC $e((p)\mathbb{Z}[i]|(p)) = 1$

NUMBER THEORY WE KNOW THAT $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ AND $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q}$ ARE FIELDS (RESIDUE FIELDS). WE HAVE

A NATURAL MAP $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q}$ WHOSE KERNEL IS $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}$. THIS

GIVES AN EMBEDDING $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q}$

M. LALIN THEY ARE FINITE FIELDS, SO $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q}$ IS A FINITE EXTENSION OF $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ OF DEGREE $f = [\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q} : \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}]$ THIS IS CALLED THE **INERTIA DEGREE**.

EX CONSIDER $(1+i)\mathbb{Z}[i] = \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]$, $(2)\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

$|\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}| = 2 \quad |\mathcal{O}_L/(1+i)| = 2 \Rightarrow f = 1$

SINCE $(3)\mathbb{Z}[i]$ IS PRIME, LOOK AT $|\mathbb{Z}[i]/(3)\mathbb{Z}[i]| = 9$

$|\mathbb{Z}/(3)| = 3 \Rightarrow f = 2$

IF $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$ ARE PRIMES IN THE NUMBER RINGS $\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \mathcal{O}_M$, THEN

$$\left. \begin{aligned} e(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}) &= e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) \\ f(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}) &= f(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) f(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{SEE HOMEWORK.}$$

IN GENERAL, IF WE TAKE $K = \mathbb{Q}$ AND L A NUMBER FIELD WITH $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathcal{O}_L$ PRIME, THEN \mathfrak{q} LIES OVER A UNIQUE $p \in \mathbb{Z}$

THEN $|\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q}| = p^f$. ALSO, SINCE $p\mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, WE HAVE $p^f \leq |\mathcal{O}_L/p\mathcal{O}_L| = p^n$, WHERE $n = [L:\mathbb{Q}]$. THUS $f \leq n$.

THEM (A) LET L/K BE AN EXTENSION OF NUMBER FIELDS; $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_r$ PRIMES OF \mathcal{O}_L LYING OVER A PRIME \mathfrak{p} OF \mathcal{O}_K . LET $e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_r$ BE THE RAMIFICATION INDEXES AND INERTIA DEGREES RESPECTIVELY. THEN

$$\sum_{i=1}^r f_i e_i = [L:K] = n.$$

THEM (B) LET $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ IDEAL AND $N_K(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}|$ THEN

(a) FOR $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ IDEALS IN \mathcal{O}_K , $N_K(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = N_K(\mathfrak{a})N_K(\mathfrak{b})$

(b) FOR \mathfrak{a} IDEAL IN \mathcal{O}_K , $N_L(\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_L) = N_K(\mathfrak{a})^n$

(c) FOR $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$, $\alpha \neq 0$. $N_K(\mathfrak{a}) = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|$

PROOF (B) (a) FIRST ASSUME $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}) = 1$, $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{O}_K$. THEN $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ AND THE CHINESE REMAINDER THEOREM GIVES

$$\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a} \times \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{b}$$

$$\Rightarrow N_K(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = N_K(\mathfrak{a})N_K(\mathfrak{b})$$

NOW CONSIDER $N_K(\mathfrak{p}^e)$ FOR \mathfrak{p} A PRIME IDEAL.

(28)

WE PROVED THAT $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \cong \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^i/\mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$. THEN $N_K(\mathfrak{p}^i) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^i| = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}|^i$
CONSIDER $\mathcal{O}_K \supseteq \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^n$.

ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY

$N_K(\mathfrak{p}^n) = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^n| = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}| |\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^2| \dots |\mathfrak{p}^{n-1}/\mathfrak{p}^n| = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^2| = N_K(\mathfrak{p}^2)$
COMBINING THE ABOVE, WE GET $N_K(\alpha\beta) = N_K(\alpha)N_K(\beta)$ IN GENERAL.

THEOREM

(A) SPECIAL CASE $K = \mathbb{Q}$. THEN $\mathfrak{p} = p\mathbb{Z}$, WRITE $p\mathcal{O}_K = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i e_i$.
 $N_K(p\mathcal{O}_K) = \prod_{i=1}^n N_K(q_i e_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (p^{f_i})^{e_i}$

$x^n + y^n = z^n$

M. LAFIN

ON THE OTHER HAND $N_K(p\mathcal{O}_K) = p^n$. BY COMPARING BOTH FORMULAS, WE GET THIS CASE.

BEFORE WE CONTINUE WITH THE PROOF, WE NEED THE FOLLOWING.
LEMMA: LET $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ BE NONZERO INTEGRAL IDEALS IN A DEDEKIND DOMAIN

R . SUCH THAT $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \neq R$. THEN THERE IS $\gamma \in K$ SUCH THAT $\gamma\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$
 $\gamma\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{b}$.

PROOF WE KNOW THAT THERE IS AN INTEGRAL IDEAL \mathfrak{c} SUCH THAT $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} = (\alpha)$

IN PARTICULAR, $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{b}$. TAKE $\beta \in \mathfrak{c}$ SUCH THAT $\beta\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ AND TAKE $\gamma = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ THEN $\gamma\mathfrak{a} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} \subseteq R$ #

(B) (b) BY (B) (a) WE CAN ASSUME THAT $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}$ PRIME. WE KNOW THAT $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ IS A VECTOR SPACE OVER $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ AND WE CLAIM THAT IT HAS DIMENSION n . FIRST WE PROVE $\dim_{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K \leq n$.

LET $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{O}_K$. THEY ARE LINEARLY DEPENDENT OVER K . THUS, $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ NOT ALL ZERO SUCH THAT $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i a_i = 0$

SUPPOSE THAT THE a_i BECOME ZERO IN $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$. THIS HAPPENS IFF $(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.

BY THE LEMMA, $\exists \gamma \in K \setminus \mathcal{O}_K$ SUCH THAT $\gamma(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ BUT $\gamma(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.

BUT THEN $\gamma\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \gamma\lambda_{n+1} a_{n+1} = 0$ GIVES A NONTRIVIAL COMBINATION IN $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$. THIS IMPLIES THAT $\dim_{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K \leq n$.

NOW WE PROVE THAT $\dim_{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K = n$.
LET $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = (p)$ AND LET \mathfrak{p}_i BE THE PRIMES LYING OVER (p) . THEN $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i\mathcal{O}_K$ IS A VECTOR SPACE OVER $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i$ OF DIMENSION $n_i \leq n$.

LET $p\mathcal{O}_K = \prod \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$, $p\mathcal{O}_K = \prod (\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i})^{e_i}$

NOW $\sum e_i f_i = [K:\mathbb{Q}] = n$ BY THE SPECIAL CASE OF (A) ALREADY PROVEN AND $p^{nm} = N_K(p\mathcal{O}_K) = \prod N_K(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i})^{e_i} = \prod N_K(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{n_i e_i} = (\prod p_i^{f_i})^{n_i e_i}$

(29)

$\Rightarrow mn = \sum e_i f_i$ BY THE SPECIAL CASE OF (A) ALREADY PROVEN.

SINCE $n_i \leq n$ AND $\sum e_i f_i = m$, WE HAVE

ALGEBRAIC NUMBER $mn = \sum e_i f_i n_i \leq \sum e_i f_i n = mn$ AND WE MUST HAVE $n_i = n$

NUMBER (A) LET $\mathcal{O}_L = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i$.

THEOREY THEN $N_L(\mathcal{O}_L) = \prod N_L(\alpha_i) e_i = \prod N_K(\alpha_i)^{f_i e_i}$ BY (B) (C) (D) AND THE DEFINITION OF f_i .

$x^n + y^n = z^n$ BY (B) (D) $N_L(\mathcal{O}_L) = N_K(\alpha_i)^n$

M. LALIN THUS WE GET $n = \sum e_i f_i$

(B) (C) FIRST EXTEND K TO A GALOIS EXTENSION (ITS GALOIS CLOSURE)

M. FOR EACH EMBEDDING $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ TAKE AN EXTENSION $\sigma: M \rightarrow M$

WE HAVE $N_M(\sigma(\alpha) \mathcal{O}_M) = N_M(\alpha \mathcal{O}_M)$ BECAUSE $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_M) = \mathcal{O}_M$ AND

$$\mathcal{O}_M / \alpha \mathcal{O}_M \cong \sigma(\mathcal{O}_M / \alpha \mathcal{O}_M)$$

NOW $N_M(N_K(\alpha) \mathcal{O}_M) = \prod N_M(\sigma(\alpha) \mathcal{O}_M) = N_K(\alpha \mathcal{O}_M)^n$, WHERE $n = [M:K]$

BY (B) (D), WE HAVE $N_M(N_K(\alpha) \mathcal{O}_M) = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|^{mn}$ WHERE $m = [M:K]$

AND BY (B) (D) WE HAVE $N_M(\alpha \mathcal{O}_M) = N_K(\alpha)^m$

THUS, $N_K(\alpha)^{mn} = N_M(\alpha \mathcal{O}_M)^n = N_M(N_K(\alpha) \mathcal{O}_M) = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|^{mn}$

$$\Rightarrow N_K(\alpha) = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| \neq$$

EX TAKE $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ THEN $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]$.

SINCE $(2) \subseteq (\sqrt[3]{2})^3$, THEN $N_K(\sqrt[3]{2}) = 2$ AND $(\sqrt[3]{2})$ IS PRIME.

THEN $e=3, f=1$ ALSO $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. SINCE $\text{Im}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})}(x) = x^3 - 2$

EX LET α BE A ROOT OF $x^3 = x + 1, K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. THEN $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$

$$\text{disc}(\alpha) = -(4(-1)^3 + 27) = -23$$

$$(23) = (23, \alpha - 10)^2 (23, \alpha - 3) \text{ (HOMEWORK)}$$

THE FACTORS DO NOT HAVE ALL THE SAME RATIONALIZATION INDEX.

NOW ASSUME THAT THE EXTENSION L/K IS GALOIS AND LET \mathfrak{P} BE A

PRIME OF \mathcal{O}_K . IF \mathfrak{q} LIES OVER \mathfrak{P} , AND $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ THEN $\sigma(\mathfrak{q})$ IS AN

IDEAL OF $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_L$ LYING OVER $\sigma(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}$.

THM LET L/K BE A GALOIS EXTENSION, $\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}'$ PRIME IDEALS OF \mathcal{O}_L

LYING OVER \mathfrak{P} PRIME IDEAL OF \mathcal{O}_K . THEN $\exists \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ SUCH THAT

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}'.$$

PROOF SUPPOSE $\sigma(\mathfrak{q}) \neq \mathfrak{q}' \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$. BY THE CHINESE REMAINDER

THEOREM, THERE IS A NUMBER $a \in L$ SUCH THAT $a \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{q}'}$ AND

$$a \equiv 1 \pmod{\sigma(\mathfrak{q})} \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

30

$N_{L/K}(a) \in \mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathfrak{q}' = \emptyset$ AND $a \notin \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{q}) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{s}'(a) \notin \mathfrak{q} \nmid \mathfrak{s} \Rightarrow N_{L/K}(a) \notin \mathfrak{q}$
BUT $\Rightarrow N_{L/K}(a) \notin \mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathfrak{q} = \emptyset$ CONTRADICTION \neq

ALGEBRAIC CORO LET L/K BE A GALOIS EXTENSION, $\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}'$ PRIME IDEALS OF \mathcal{O}_L LYING OVER \mathfrak{p} . THEN $e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) = e(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p}); f(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) = f(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p})$

THEORY PROOF: FROM UNIQUE FACTORIZATION, $e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) = e(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p})$, SINCE THERE IS \mathfrak{s} SUCH THAT $\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}'$

$x^n + y^n = z^n$

M. LALIN ON THE OTHER HAND, $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q}'$ IS AN ISOMORPHISM.
 $a \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}(a) \Rightarrow f(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) = f(\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p}) \neq$

THUS, $\#\mathcal{O}_L = (\mathfrak{q}_1 \dots \mathfrak{q}_r)^e \text{ rel. } [L:K]$

DEF LET $K \subseteq L$ BE NUMBER FIELDS, $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ PRIME. THEN \mathfrak{p} IS RANIFIED IN \mathcal{O}_L IF $e(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}) > 1$ FOR SOME \mathfrak{q} LYING OVER \mathfrak{p} .

EX IN $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_p]$, WHERE p IS AN ODD PRIME, WE HAVE $p = (1-\omega_p) \dots (1-\omega_p^{p-1})$
 $(1-\omega_p^k) \mathbb{Z}[\omega_p] = (1-\omega_p) \mathbb{Z}[\omega_p]$ BECAUSE $\frac{1-\omega_p^k}{1-\omega_p}$ IS A UNIT

THEN, AT THE LEVEL OF IDEALS, $(1-\omega_p) = (1-\omega_p^k)$. THUS $p\mathbb{Z}[\omega_p] = (1-\omega_p)^{p-1}$

$(1-\omega_p)$ IS A PRIME IDEAL, $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\omega_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(1-\omega_p) = p$

p IS RANIFIED IN $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_p]$ (NOTICE $\text{disc} = \pm p^{p-2}$)

23 IS RANIFIED IN $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ $\alpha^2 + 1$ ($\text{disc} = -23$)

2 IS RANIFIED IN $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ ($\text{disc} = -3 \cdot 2^2$)

3 IS RANIFIED IN $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ $(3) = (\sqrt{2}+1)^3$ $N(\sqrt{2}+1) = 3$

THM: LET K BE A NUMBER FIELD AND p BE PRIME IN \mathbb{Z} THAT RANIFIES IN \mathcal{O}_K THEN $p \mid \text{disc}(K)$ (IN FACT, IT IS IFF, WE WILL PROVE THIS LATER)

PROOF: LET \mathfrak{p} BE A PRIME OF \mathcal{O}_K LYING OVER p SUCH THAT $e(\mathfrak{p}/p) > 1$

THEN $p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ WITH \mathcal{O}_K DIVISIBLE BY ALL PRIMES OF \mathcal{O}_K LYING OVER p

LET $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ THE EMBEDDINGS OF K ON $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ EXTENDED TO THE GALOIS

CLOSURE L OF K . LET a_1, \dots, a_n BE AN INTEGRAL BASIS OF \mathcal{O}_K . TAKE

$a \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus p\mathcal{O}_K$, THEN $a \in \mathfrak{p}'$ FOR ALL \mathfrak{p}' PRIME IDEAL LYING OVER p , BUT

$a \notin p\mathcal{O}_K$. WRITE $a = r_1\alpha_1 + \dots + r_n\alpha_n$. THEN $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ BUT $\exists i$ SUCH THAT $p \nmid r_i$

(SINCE $a \notin p\mathcal{O}_K$). SAY, $p \nmid r_1$. LET $\text{disc}(K) = \text{disc}_K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. THUS

$\text{disc}_K(r_1\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = r_1^2 \text{disc}$. SINCE $p \nmid r_1$, IT SUFFICES TO SHOW THAT

$p \mid \text{disc}_K(r_1\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ NOTICE THAT $a \in$ EVERY PRIME OF \mathcal{O}_K LYING OVER p

(SINCE THEY LIE OVER THE PRIMES OF \mathcal{O}_K LYING OVER p). FIX $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K, \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p} = p$

THEN $a \in \mathfrak{q}$. WE CLAIM THAT $\mathfrak{s}(a) \in \mathfrak{q}$ NOTICE THAT $\mathfrak{s}'(\mathfrak{q})$ IS ALSO A PRIME OF

\mathcal{O}_L LYING OVER $p \Rightarrow \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L \Rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_L \nmid \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \text{disc}(\alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \notin \mathfrak{p}$
 AND $\text{disc}(\alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \text{disc}(\alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in p\mathbb{Z} \nmid$

ALGEBRAIC CORO ONLY FINITELY MANY PRIMES IN \mathbb{Z} ARE RATIFIED IN \mathcal{O}_L .

NUMBER THEORY CORO LET $K \subseteq L$ BE NUMBER FIELDS. ONLY FINITELY MANY PRIMES OF \mathcal{O}_K ARE RATIFIED IN \mathcal{O}_L .

PROOF: TAKE $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ RATIFIED. THEN $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$ IS RATIFIED IN \mathcal{O}_L

M. LALIN THESE ARE FINITELY MANY SUCH p 'S \Rightarrow THERE ARE FINITELY MANY SUCH \mathfrak{p} 'S

LET $K \subseteq L$ BE NUMBER FIELDS WITH $n = [L:K]$. FIX $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$ OF DEGREE n OVER K SO THAT $L = K(\alpha)$ THEN $\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$ AND $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ IS A FINITE GROUP SINCE BOTH \mathcal{O}_L AND $\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ ARE FREE ABELIAN OF RANK $n = [L:K]$

FOR ALL BUT FINITELY MANY \mathfrak{p} OF \mathcal{O}_K , THE SPLITTING OF \mathfrak{p} IN \mathcal{O}_L CAN BE DETERMINED BY FACTORING A CERTAIN POLYNOMIAL MODULO \mathfrak{p} . THIS IS PROVIDED

THAT $p \nmid |\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]|$ FOR $p\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$

FIX $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$, $h(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$, AND LET $\bar{h}(x)$ BE THE POLYNOMIAL IN $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}[x]$ OBTAINED BY REDUCING THE COEFFICIENTS OF $h(x)$ MODULO \mathfrak{p} .

LET $m_\alpha(x)$ BE THE MINIMAL POLYNOMIAL OF α OVER K . $m_\alpha(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$

WRITE $\bar{m}_\alpha(x) = \bar{m}_1(x)^{e_1} \dots \bar{m}_r(x)^{e_r}$ IN $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}[x]$, WHERE THE $\bar{m}_i(x)$ ARE DISTINCT AND MONIC

THM WITH EVERYTHING AS ABOVE, AND $p \nmid |\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]|$ FOR p LYING UNDER \mathfrak{p}

THEN $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{q}_r^{e_r}$, WHERE

$\mathfrak{q}_i = (\mathfrak{p}, m_i(\alpha)) = \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L + (m_i(\alpha))$, $f(\mathfrak{q}_i/\mathfrak{p}) = \deg m_i$.

EX CONSIDER $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{l}) = L$, WITH l SQUARE-FREE. WE HAVE $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{l}] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$

$|\mathcal{O}_L/\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{l}]| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{IF } l \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ 2 & \text{IF } l \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$

THM IF $p \nmid l \Rightarrow p\mathcal{O}_L = (p, \sqrt{l})^2$

IF l IS ODD, $2\mathcal{O}_L = \begin{cases} (2, 1+\sqrt{l})^2 & \text{IF } l \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ (2, \frac{1+\sqrt{l}}{2})(2, \frac{1-\sqrt{l}}{2}) & \text{IF } l \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$
PRIME IF $l \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$

IF p IS ODD, $p \nmid l$,

$p\mathcal{O}_L = \begin{cases} (p, u+\sqrt{l})(p, u-\sqrt{l}) & \text{IF } l \equiv u^2 \pmod{p} \\ \text{PRIME} & \text{IF } l \text{ NOT A SQUARE MOD } p \end{cases}$

PROOF $\alpha = \sqrt{l}$, $m(x) = x^2 - l$

IF $p=2$, l ODD $x^2 - l \equiv x^2 + 1 = (x+1)^2 \pmod{2}$

32

IF $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, THEN THEOREM \star IMPLIES $2O_L = (2, \sqrt{l})^2$
 IF $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, WE TAKE $a = \frac{\sqrt{l+1}}{2}$, $m(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1-l}{4} \equiv \begin{cases} x(x+1) & \text{IF } l \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ x^2+x+1 & \text{IF } l \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$
 ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY IF $l \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ $2O_L = (2, \frac{\sqrt{l+1}}{2}) (2, \frac{\sqrt{l-1}}{2})$ IRREDUCIBLE
 IF l EVEN, $x^2-l \equiv x^2 \pmod{2}$ $2O_L = (2, \sqrt{l})^2$

THE CASE $p|l$ IS SIMILAR.

IF p ODD, $p \nmid l$ $x^2-l \equiv \begin{cases} (x-u)(x+u) & \text{IF } l \equiv u^2 \pmod{p} \\ \text{IRREDUCIBLE} & \text{IF } l \text{ NOT A SQUARE MOD } p \end{cases}$

M. LALIN

PROOF OF THEOREM \star LET $f_i := \deg m_i = \deg \bar{m}_i$. WE PROVE

- ① FOR EACH i , $Q_i = O_L$ OR O_L/Q_i IS A FIELD OF ORDER $|O_L/\mathfrak{P}|^{f_i}$
- ② $Q_i \neq Q_j = O_L$ IF $i \neq j$
- ③ $\mathfrak{P} O_L \mid Q_1^{e_1} \dots Q_r^{e_r}$

ASSUME ①, ②, ③. REARRANGING, WE CAN ASSUME $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \neq O_L$, $Q_{s+1}, \dots, Q_r = O_L$. WE HAVE $Q_i \cap O_L \supseteq \mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow Q_i$ PRIME IDEAL OF O_L LYING OVER \mathfrak{P} FOR $i=1, \dots, s$. WE ALSO HAVE $|O_L/Q_i| = |O_L/\mathfrak{P}|^{f_i}$ IMPLIES $f(Q_i/\mathfrak{P}) = f_i$ $i=1, \dots, s$

BY ②, THE Q_i ARE DISTINCT FOR $i=1, \dots, s$.

BY ③, $\mathfrak{P} O_L \mid Q_1^{e_1} \dots Q_s^{e_s} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{P} O_L = Q_1^{d_1} \dots Q_s^{d_s}$ WITH $d_i \leq e_i \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^s d_i f_i = n$ ON THE OTHER HAND, $n = \deg m = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i \Rightarrow r=s$, AND $d_i = e_i$.

PROOF OF ① TAKE $F_i = (O_L/\mathfrak{P})[x]/(\bar{m}_i)$. F_i IS A FIELD OF $|O_L/\mathfrak{P}|^{f_i}$ ELEMENTS. CONSIDER $\psi_i: O_L[x] \rightarrow F_i$ THE REDUCTION MOD \mathfrak{P} AND MOD \bar{m}_i MAP. IT IS ONTO. ITS KERNEL IS $\psi_i(h) = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow h \in (\bar{m}_i) \Leftrightarrow h = f \bar{m}_i$ FOR SOME $f \in O_L[x] \Leftrightarrow h - f \bar{m}_i \in \mathfrak{P}[x]$ (HERE WE MEAN $\mathfrak{P}[x] = \mathfrak{P} O_L[x]$) $\Leftrightarrow h \in (\mathfrak{P}[x], \bar{m}_i)$
 $\Rightarrow O_L[x]/(\mathfrak{P}[x], \bar{m}_i) \cong (O_L/\mathfrak{P})[x]/(\bar{m}_i) \Rightarrow (\mathfrak{P}[x], \bar{m}_i)$ MAXIMAL IDEAL.

NOW CONSIDER $O_L[x] \rightarrow O_L$ AND $\psi_i: O_L[x] \rightarrow O_L/Q_i$
 $x \rightarrow \alpha$

THEN $(\mathfrak{P}[x], \bar{m}_i) \subseteq \ker \psi_i$. THIS IMPLIES $\ker \psi_i = O_L[x]$ OR $\ker \psi_i = (\mathfrak{P}[x], \bar{m}_i)$. WE PROVE THAT ψ_i IS ONTO. WE HAVE TO SHOW THAT $O_L = O_L[\alpha] + Q_i$. WE KNOW THAT $p \in \mathfrak{P} \subseteq Q_i \Rightarrow p O_L \subseteq Q_i$. SINCE $p \nmid |O_L/O_L[\alpha]|$ AND THE INDEX OF $O_L[\alpha] + p O_L$ MUST BE A COMMON DIVISOR OF $|O_L/O_L[\alpha]|$ AND $|O_L/p O_L|$ AND THOSE ARE COPRIME SINCE $|O_L/p O_L|$ IS A POWER OF p , WE CONCLUDE THAT

33

$\mathbb{C}_L = \mathbb{C}_K[x] + p \mathbb{C}_L = \mathbb{C}_K[x] + q_x$. Thus φ_i is onto $\Rightarrow \mathbb{C}_K[x] / \ker \varphi_i \cong \mathbb{C}_L / q_x$. If $\ker \varphi_i = (\mathcal{P}[x], m_i)$, we get

ALGEBRAIC NUMBER $\mathbb{C}_L / q_x \cong \mathbb{C}_K[x] / (\mathcal{P}[x], m_i) \cong F_i$

PROOF OF ② WE HAVE THAT m_x, m_y ARE DISTINCT AND IRREDUCIBLE \Rightarrow

THEOREM $\exists h, k \in \mathbb{C}_K[x]$ SUCH THAT $m_x h + m_y k = 1 \Rightarrow m_x(\alpha)h(\alpha) + m_y(\alpha)k(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_L)}$

M. LALIN SINCE $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_L) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_i) \Rightarrow 1 \in (\mathcal{P}, m_x(\alpha), m_y(\alpha)) = q_x + q_y$

PROOF OF ③ RECALL THAT $q_x = (\mathcal{P}, m_x(\alpha))$.

$$q_1^{e_1} \dots q_r^{e_r} \subseteq (\mathcal{P}, m_1(\alpha)^{e_1} \dots m_r(\alpha)^{e_r}) \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_L)$$

WE NEED TO PROVE THAT $m_1(\alpha)^{e_1} \dots m_r(\alpha)^{e_r} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_L)$ WE WANT TO PROVE THIS

SINCE $m_1^{e_1} \dots m_r^{e_r} = m$, THEN $m_1^{e_1} \dots m_r^{e_r} \equiv m \pmod{\mathcal{P}[x]} \Rightarrow$

$$m_1(\alpha)^{e_1} \dots m_r(\alpha)^{e_r} \equiv m(\alpha) \pmod{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_L)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}_L) \mid q_1^{e_1} \dots q_r^{e_r} \neq$$