

MATH 819 – HW5 (SEPARATED AND PROPER; LOCALLY FREE SHEAVES)

Due date: In class Tuesday, April 4th

Reading: Vakil: Separated and proper (Chapter 11) – see also Hartshorne II.3. Vector bundles and locally free sheaves: Chapter 14.1-14.3 and 15. Global Spec and Global Proj: 18.1-18.2

(1) (Separatedness)

(a) If $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is separated, $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ is affine, and $U, V \in X$ are affine open sets, then $U \cap V$ is affine. (Examine $\Delta^{-1}(U \times_S V)$.)

Taking $X = S$ and $\pi = \text{id}$, conclude: in an affine scheme, intersections of arbitrary affine open subschemes are affine. Then show \mathbb{A}^2 with two origins (over any base scheme) is not affine.

(b) Show that separatedness is preserved by pullback, and properness is preserved by composition. (Use the definition, *or* assume X, S are locally noetherian and π is finite type and then use the valuative criterion).

Solutions. (a) We have $\Delta^{-1}(U \times_S V) = U \cap V$ as a set. Since S is affine, so is $U \times_S V$. Since π is separated, Δ is a closed embedding, in particular an affine morphism, so $\Delta^{-1}(U \times_S V) = U \cap V$ is again affine.

In the indicated special case, this shows that intersections of affines of $\text{Spec } R$ are affine. (In fact, if $U = \text{Spec } A$ and $V = \text{Spec } B$, then unwinding the formula, and using that $\Delta : S \rightarrow S \times_S S$ is now an isomorphism, gives $U \cap V \cong \text{Spec}(A \otimes_R B)$. I don't think it would have been obvious otherwise that this would represent an open subscheme of $\text{Spec } R$.) Finally, if X is \mathbb{A}^2 with two origins, then $X = U \cup V$ where $U, V \cong \mathbb{A}^2$ are affine open sets, but $U \cap V = \mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, which is not affine. Therefore X is not affine.

(b) Proof (sketch) via valuative criterion: For “separated is preserved by pullback”, suppose we're given

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 U & \longrightarrow & S' \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & X \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow f & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\
 C & \longrightarrow & S' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & S
 \end{array}$$

with π separated. Composing f and g with α' gives two arrows $C \rightarrow X$. Since π is separated, $\alpha' \circ f = \alpha' \circ g$. But then by the universal property of fiber products,

there is a *unique* map $C \rightarrow S' \times_S X$ making the diagram commute. Since both f and g work there, $f = g$.

For “properness is preserved by composition”: first note that “finite type” is preserved by composition because it is a local property on source and target, so (reducing to the affine case) the point is that if $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ are rings, B is a finitely-generated A -algebra, and C is a finitely-generated B -algebra, then C is a finitely-generated A -algebra (by the elements $b_i c_j$ ranging over the generators of C over B (including 1) and those of B over A (including 1)). Next, suppose we’re given

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U & \longrightarrow & X \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \alpha \\
 & & Y \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow \beta \\
 C & \longrightarrow & Z
 \end{array}$$

with α, β proper. Since β is proper, there’s a unique map $C \rightarrow Y$ making the diagram commute. Then since α is proper, there’s a unique map $C \rightarrow X$ making the diagram commute.

For the proofs directly from the definition: for separatedness, suppose we’re given

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S' \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & X \\
 \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\
 S' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & S
 \end{array}$$

with π separated, i.e. $\Delta_{X/S} : X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ is a closed embedding. Let $X' := S' \times_S X$. Ravi suggests proving that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X' & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{X'/S'}} & X' \times_{S'} X' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{X/S}} & X \times_S X
 \end{array}$$

is Cartesian. Then $\Delta_{X'/S'}$ is the pullback of a closed embedding, hence again a closed embedding. For “composition of universally closed is universally closed”, the proof is that pullback commutes with composition.

- (2) Let R be a noetherian ring and M a finitely-generated R -module.
- (a) Let S be a multiplicative set and suppose $S^{-1}M = 0$. Show that there exists $f \in S$ such that $M_f = 0$.

- (b) Let $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and suppose M_p is a free R_p -module of rank n . Show that there exists $f \in R - p$ such that M_f is a free R_f -module of rank n .
 (Hint: First find a map $R^n \rightarrow M$ such that $R_p^n \rightarrow M_p$ is an isomorphism. Then use (a).)
- (c) Let X be a noetherian scheme and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X . Show: \mathcal{F} is locally free of rank $n \Leftrightarrow$ for all $p \in X$, \mathcal{F}_p is a free $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module of rank n .

Solution. (a) Let M have generators m_1, \dots, m_k . Since $S^{-1}M = 0$, there exist f_1, \dots, f_k such that $f_i m_i = 0$ for each i . Let $f = \prod_i f_i$. Then $f m_i = 0$ for all i , so $M_f = 0$.

(b) Since M_p is free over R_p of rank n , M_p has a free basis $\{\frac{m_1}{s_1}, \dots, \frac{m_n}{s_n}\}$ for some $m_i \in M$ and $s_i \in R \setminus p$. Since the s_i are units in R_p , $\{\frac{m_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{m_n}{1}\}$ is again a basis. Let $\psi : R^n \rightarrow M$ be defined by $\psi(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum r_i m_i$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \psi \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{coker } \psi \rightarrow 0.$$

Localizing to R_p , the middle map becomes an isomorphism. Since localization is exact, this shows $(\ker \psi)_p = 0 = (\text{coker } \psi)_p$. By part (a), there exist $f, g \in R \setminus p$ such that $(\ker \psi)_f = 0 = (\text{coker } \psi)_g$. Then over R_{fg} , both the cokernel and kernel vanish, so ψ_{fg} is an isomorphism.

(c) (\Rightarrow): Let $p \in X$. Since \mathcal{F}_p is locally free of rank n , there is some affine neighborhood U of p such that $\mathcal{F}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_p \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,p}^{\oplus n}$ by localizing.

(\Leftarrow): By part (b), for each p we can find U_p an open neighborhood of p on which $\mathcal{F}|_{U_p}$ is free of rank n . Therefore \mathcal{F} is locally free of rank n .

- (3) Let \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} be locally free sheaves of ranks e and f on a noetherian scheme X .
- (a) Show that $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ are all locally free, of ranks ef , $e+f$ and ef again. (Take for granted that $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is quasicohherent and given by $\text{Hom}_R(E, F)$ on affine charts, when \mathcal{F} is quasicohherent and \mathcal{E} is coherent; see Vakil 1.6.G and 14.3.A.)
- (b) Show that $\text{Sym}^d(\mathcal{E})$ is locally free of rank $\binom{e+d-1}{d}$. (If m_1, \dots, m_e is a basis for \mathcal{E} locally, the monomials in m_1, \dots, m_e give a basis for $\text{Sym}^d(\mathcal{E})$.)
- (c) Show that there is a surjective map of sheaves $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by “evaluation” $v \otimes \varphi \mapsto \varphi(v)$. (Show it exists via universal properties of \otimes and gluing, then check it is surjective on sufficiently small affine charts.)
- (d) Specialize part (b) to $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$; the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is called the *dual* of \mathcal{E} and denoted \mathcal{E}^* or \mathcal{E}^\vee .
 Show: If \mathcal{E} has rank 1, the map $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is actually an isomorphism.

Solutions. For (a)(b)(d) and the surjectivity in (c), the thing we’re asked to prove is a local property, so it suffices to check it on sufficiently small affine open sets. That is, you can immediately (if you wish) reduce to $X = \text{Spec } R$ affine and

\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} **free** (rather than just locally free), that is, $\mathcal{E} = \widetilde{E}$ where $E = R^e$ and $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{F}$ where $F = R^f$.

For (b), the proof is called “stars and bars”.

For (c), Note that the given formula makes sense on every affine open set. Moreover, for a distinguished inclusion, $\text{Spec } R_f \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } R$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \otimes \text{Hom}_R(E, F) & \longrightarrow & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_f \otimes \text{Hom}_{R_f}(E_f, F_f) & \longrightarrow & F_f \end{array}$$

commutes. This means the formula defines a map of sheaves on the base, which therefore extends to a map of sheaves. We can check surjectivity locally: on a trivializing open set U as above, let $y \in F$. Then let $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ be defined by sending some basis element $x \in E$ to y . Then $\psi(y \otimes \phi) = x$, so ψ is surjective.

For (d), once we know the map exists, we can check it’s an isomorphism locally. So locally $\mathcal{E} \cong R$ and $\mathcal{E}^* \cong R$, generated by the identity function $\text{id} : R \rightarrow R$. (For any other $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R, R)$, if $\phi(1) = s$ then ϕ is just multiplication by s , and so $\phi = s \cdot \text{id}$.) Every element $r \otimes \phi$ is then just a multiple of $1 \otimes \text{id}$, namely $r \otimes \phi = r \otimes (s \cdot \text{id}) = rs(1 \otimes \text{id})$.

The map is now $R \otimes \text{Hom}(R, R) \rightarrow R$ given by $r \otimes \phi \mapsto \phi(r)$. This sends $1 \otimes \text{id} \mapsto 1$ and $r(1 \otimes \text{id}) \mapsto r$, so it is an isomorphism. (For an explicit inverse map, send $r \mapsto r(1 \otimes \text{id})$.) \square

- (4) Let $R = k[S^4, S^3T, ST^3, T^4] \subset k[S, T]$ (i.e. the 4-th Veronese subring omitting S^2T^2). We reset the grading on S and count its four generators now as degree 1.

You may take for granted that $S \cong \frac{k[X, Y, Z, W]}{(XW - YZ, Y^3 - ZX^2, Z^3 - YW^2)}$.

- (a) Verify that $\sqrt{(X, W)} = R_+$, so $D_+(X) \cup D_+(W) = \text{Proj } R$.
- (b) Show that $D_+(X)$ and $D_+(W)$ are each isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 and that the gluing map simplifies to the usual \mathbb{P}^1 gluing map, so $\text{Proj } R \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (c) Examine $\widetilde{R(1)}$ on each of the charts $D_+(\widetilde{X})$ and $D_+(\widetilde{W})$: write down the generator and transition map. Recognize $\widetilde{R(1)}$ as what we would have called $\mathcal{O}(4)$ on $\text{Proj } k[S, T]$. In particular, its global sections are

$$\Gamma(\text{Proj } R, \widetilde{R(1)}) = k \cdot \{S^4, S^3T, S^2T^2, ST^3, T^4\},$$

even though $S^2T^2 \notin R$. This gives another example where the map $M_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\widetilde{M}, \text{Proj } R)$ isn’t surjective. (It may be helpful to write S^2T^2 in terms of X, Y, Z, W on each chart.)

Solutions. (a) We have $Y^3 = ZX^2$ and $Z^3 = W^2Y \in (X, W)$, so $Y, Z \in \sqrt{(X, W)}$. Therefore $R_+ \subseteq \sqrt{(X, W)}$, which implies $D_+(X) \cup D_+(W) = \text{Proj } R$.

(b) For $D_+(S^4)$, the ring is

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{S^4})_0 &= k[S^4, S^3T, ST^3, T^4, \frac{1}{S^4}]_0 \\ &= k[\frac{S^4}{S^4}, \frac{S^3T}{S^4}, \frac{ST^3}{S^4}, \frac{T^4}{S^4}] \\ &= k[1, \frac{T}{S}, (\frac{T}{S})^3, (\frac{T}{S})^4] = k[\frac{T}{S}]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $D_+(T^4)$, the ring is $k[\frac{T}{S}]$. The transition maps are

$$k[\frac{T}{S}] \hookrightarrow k[\frac{T}{S}, \frac{S}{T}] \hookrightarrow k[\frac{S}{T}],$$

which we recognize as those of \mathbb{P}^1 .

(c) On $D_+(S^4)$, $(R(1)_f)_0$ is $S^4k[\frac{T}{S}]$, generated by S^4 . On $D_+(T^4)$, the module is $T^4k[\frac{S}{T}]$. We have

$$S^4 = T^4 \cdot (\frac{S}{T})^4,$$

which is the transition function for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)$. We therefore get 5 linearly independent global sections, including

$$S^4 \cdot (\frac{T}{S})^2 = S^2T^2 = T^2(\frac{S}{T})^2.$$

(5) (A valuative criterion) For any ring R and for $d \leq n$, let:

- $\text{Mat}_{d \times n}(R)$ be the set of $d \times n$ matrices M with entries in R ,
- $U_{d \times n}(R) \subset \text{Mat}_{d \times n}(R)$ be the set of M such that the $d \times d$ minors of M generate the unit ideal in R , called *full rank* matrices.
- $GL_d(R) := U_{d,d}(R)$, the square matrices M such that $\det(M)$ is a unit.

One indirect “definition” of the *Grassmannian* $Gr(d, n)$ is to define, for all *affine* schemes $X = \text{Spec}(R)$,

$$(*) \quad \text{Hom}(\text{Spec } R, Gr(d, n)) := U_{d \times n}(R) / \sim,$$

where $M \sim AM$ for all $A \in GL_d(R)$. That is, by definition, a map $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow Gr(d, n)$ “is” a full-rank $d \times n$ matrix over R , up to the equivalence relation of row operations.

For this problem, ignore the question of how $Gr(d, n)$ is a scheme and just work directly with the definition (*) above. (This is essentially a definition via universal property of $Gr(d, n)$ as a quotient space.)

- (a) Let k be a field. Show that $\text{Hom}(\text{Spec } k, Gr(d, n))$ — the k -points of $Gr(d, n)$ — is in bijection with the set of all d -dimensional subspaces $V \subset k^n$.
- (b) Let $K = k(t)$, the field of rational functions, with valuation $\text{val}(f)$ given by the order of vanishing of f at $t = 0$. Consider the matrix:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1-t & 1-t^2 & t & t^2 \\ \frac{1}{t^2} & \frac{1+t}{t^2} & 1 & t \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 4}(k(t))$$

Check:

- Some minor of M is nonzero, so M represents a “morphism $\text{Spec } k(t) \rightarrow Gr(2, 4)$ ”. For generic t , we have a 2-dimensional subspace of k^4 .

– If we set $t = 0$, the matrix is undefined. If we try rescaling the second row by t^2 and then set $t = 0$, the resulting matrix not full-rank over k . So it may seem that we can't "take the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ ".

- (c) Calculate the valuation of each minor of M . You should find two minors are identically zero (order $+\infty$), two are order -1 and two are order 0 .
- (d) Calculate $A^{-1}M$, where A is columns 1 and 3 of M . You should find that all nonzero entries now have nonnegative valuation, i.e., $A^{-1}M \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 4}(k[t]_{(t)})$. Now set $t = 0$ and describe the resulting two-dimensional subspace of k^4 . (Really what has happened is all *minors* now have nonnegative valuation. Since $A^{-1}M$ contains an identity matrix, this includes all individual *entries*.)
- (e) Explain why every morphism $\text{Spec } k(t) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, 4)$ extends to a morphism $\text{Spec } k[t]_{(t)} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, 4)$. This is the "existence" part of the valuative criterion and is one way to prove that $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$ is proper.

Solutions.

(a) By definition, a map $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Gr}(d, n)$ is a row-equivalence class of $d \times n$ matrices. From linear algebra, two matrices over k have the same row-span if and only if they are row equivalent.

(b) The 13-minor is $\Delta_{13} = 1 - t - \frac{1}{t}$, so we see that for $t \neq 0$, this is nonzero. Thus for most t , the matrix has rank 2.

Rescaling the second row by t^2 and setting $t = 0$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This is rank 1, so it does not represent a map $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Gr}(d, n)$.

	Δ_{ij}	$\text{val}_t(\Delta_{ij})$
Δ_{12}	0	∞
Δ_{13}	$-1/t + 1 - t$	-1
(c) They are: Δ_{14}	$-1 + t - t^2$	0
Δ_{23}	$-1/t - t^2$	-1
Δ_{24}	$-1 - t^3$	0
Δ_{34}	0	∞

(d) We have $A^{-1}M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1+t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & t \end{bmatrix}$. Now this represents a map $\text{Spec } k[t] \rightarrow \text{Gr}(d, n)$ and the fiber at $t = 0$ is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

(e) Here's what we did:

- We have a map $\text{Spec } k(t) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(d, n)$, represented by a matrix M . Since M has full rank over the field $k(t)$, some minor is nonzero (as an element of $k(t)$).
- Let A be the submatrix whose minor has the lowest valuation. This valuation is not $+\infty$ (since some minor is nonzero).
- Then $A^{-1}M$ has all minors with nonnegative valuations, and an identity matrix in the columns coming from A . For any entry m_{ij} of $A^{-1}M$, if we swap out the i -th column of the identity submatrix for column j (containing m_{ij}), the resulting minor is exactly $\pm m_{ij}$. Therefore m_{ij} has valuation ≥ 0 .

- Therefore $A^{-1}M$ has all entries in $k[t]_{(t)}$, hence represents a morphism $\text{Spec } k[t]_{(t)} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(d, n)$. And of course we haven't changed the original morphism $\text{Spec } k(t) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(d, n)$, since $A^{-1}M$ is row equivalent (over $k(t)$) to M .