

MATH 819 – HW3 (MODULES, FINITENESS CONDITIONS, LOCAL CONDITIONS)

Background on modules. Hand in **one** problem below:

- (1) Let R be a ring and $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ a short exact sequence of R -modules. Let N be any R -module. Show that $M' \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N \rightarrow M'' \otimes N \rightarrow 0$ is exact. (We say that $-\otimes N$ is a *right-exact functor*.)

Comment. The main mistake to avoid here is thinking that $a \otimes b = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. This is false! For example $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/(2,3)\mathbb{Z} = 0$, so $1 \otimes 1 = 0$ in this module, even though $1 \neq 0$. □

- (4) Let X be a scheme and let $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Let $X_f = \{p \in X : f(p) \neq 0\}$.
 (a) Show: for any affine open subset $U = \text{Spec } R$, $X_f \cap U = D(f|_U)$. Conclude that X_f is open.
 (b) If X is quasicompact, show that X_f is quasicompact. (Hint: Intersect X_f with an affine cover of X and use 3.6.H(a).)

Solution. (a): $X_f \cap U = \{p \in U : f(p) \neq 0\}$. This is equivalent to our definition of $D(f|_U) = \{p \in \text{Spec } R : f \notin p\}$, because $f \in p \Leftrightarrow \frac{f}{1} \in pR_p \Leftrightarrow \bar{f} = 0 \in k(p)$. (Note that the direction $\frac{f}{1} \in pR_p \Rightarrow f \in p$ uses the fact that p is prime.)

(b): Since X is quasicompact, X is a finite union of affine open sets U_i , so X_f is covered by the finitely-many sets $X_f \cap U_i$. Each of these is a distinguished open in an affine scheme, hence is again affine, hence is quasicompact. Since a finite union of quasicompact sets is quasicompact, X_f is quasicompact. □

- (5) (Fitting ideals) Let R be a ring and M an R -module. A *free presentation* is an exact sequence

$$G \xrightarrow{\phi} F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where G and F are free R -modules. That is, M is generated by (the images of) the generators of F , subject to the relations $\phi(g) = 0$ for each generator $g \in G$. We say M is *finitely-presented* if it has a presentation with $G \cong R^n$ and $F \cong R^m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case ϕ is given by an $m \times n$ matrix of ring elements (r_{ij}) , and $\phi(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (r_{ij}) \cdot (g_j)$ (matrix-vector multiplication).

- (a) Assume M is finitely-presented as above. Let $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Tensor with $k(p)$ to get the right exact sequence

$$G(p) \xrightarrow{\phi(p)} F(p) \rightarrow M(p) \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that the matrix $\phi(p)$, of elements of $k(p)$, has rank r as a matrix. What is the dimension of $M(p)$ as a $k(p)$ -vector space?

- (b) Prove that the set $\text{Fitt}_{\geq r}(M) := \{p \in \text{Spec } R : \dim_{k(p)} M(p) \geq r\}$ is closed in the Zariski topology. (Hint: consider the ideal of R generated by determinants of minors of ϕ .) Conclude there exists a nonempty open set $U \subseteq \text{Spec } R$ such that $\tilde{M}|_U$ has constant rank (i.e. $\dim_{k(p)} M(p)$ is the same for all $p \in U$).
- (c) Let $R = k[x, y, z]$ and let M be the cokernel of the map $\phi : R^3 \rightarrow R^2$ given by the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ z & x & y \end{bmatrix}$. Describe the subsets of \mathbb{A}^3 on which M has each possible rank.

In (b), in fact more is true: the ideal of minors from part (c), the Fitting ideal, does not depend on the choice of presentation. Therefore, it gives not just a closed subset but a natural scheme structure on it. See e.g. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry, Corollary–Definition 20.4.

Solutions. (a) Note that tensor product is right-exact. This means $F(p) \cong k(p)^m \rightarrow M(p)$ is surjective, with kernel equal to the image of $\phi(p)$. If $\phi(p)$ is given by a rank- r matrix, then its image is r -dimensional, so the cokernel is has vector space dimension $m - r$.

- (b) Let $I_k \subset R$ be the ideal generated by the $k \times k$ minors of ϕ . Then:

$$p \in V(I_k) \Leftrightarrow I_k \subseteq p \Leftrightarrow I_k = 0 \pmod p$$

and the last version is equivalent to “the $k \times k$ minors of ϕ are 0 mod p ”, i.e. $\phi(p)$ is given by a matrix of rank $\leq k - 1$. By part (a), this is equivalent to $M(p)$ being a vector space of dimension $\geq m - k + 1$. Solving, we find

$$\text{Fitt}_{\geq r} = V(I_{m-r+1}).$$

This is a Zariski-closed subset since we have described it in terms of an ideal.

(c) The matrix has rank 0 (and hence M has rank 2) at the origin $x = y = z = 0$. The matrix has rank 1 (and so M does too) if $(x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ but $x^2 = yz, y^2 = xz$ and $z^2 = xy$. This is the union of three lines through the origin (minus the origin). In fact it is the affine cone over the three points $[\zeta : \zeta^{-1} : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ where ζ is a cube root of unity. Outside of these three lines, the matrix has rank 2 and M has rank 0. In particular M is only supported on those three lines. \square

- (6) Let \mathcal{F} be a quasicoherent sheaf. Show that the condition “ $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is a finitely-generated $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module” satisfies the requirements of the Affine Communication Lemma. If \mathcal{F} has this property and X is noetherian, \mathcal{F} is called a **coherent sheaf**. (Adapt the proof for “locally noetherian”.)

Solution. Suppose $X = \text{Spec } R$ and $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ for some R -module M .

Step 1: Suppose M is finitely-generated and $f \in R$. We show that M_f is finitely-generated as an R_f -module.

Proof: Let m_1, \dots, m_k generate M over R . Then $\frac{m_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{m_k}{1}$ generates M_f over R_f . (Diagrammatically: we have a surjection $R^k \rightarrow M$. Localizing gives $R_f^k \rightarrow M_f$, which preserves surjectivity since localization is exact.)

Step 2: Suppose $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (1)$ and M_{f_i} is finitely-generated over R_{f_i} for each i . We show that M is finitely-generated over R .

Proof: For each i , M_{f_i} is generated by a finite set of elements $\left\{ \frac{m_{ij}}{f_i^{k_{ij}}} \right\}$ over R_{f_i} .

But then $\left\{ \frac{m_{ij}}{1} \right\}$ generates M_{f_i} over R_{f_i} also.

Now combine all m_{ij} 's into one big finite set $\{m_1, \dots, m_N\} \subset R$ and let $\phi : R^N \rightarrow M$ be the corresponding map. Then $\text{coker}(\phi)_{f_i} = \text{coker}(\phi_{f_i}) = 0$ for each i since ϕ_{f_i} is manifestly surjective and localization preserves cokernels. Since the sets $D(f_i)$ cover $\text{Spec } R$, it follows $\text{coker}(\phi) = 0$, that is, ϕ is surjective and M is finitely-generated over R . \square

- (7) Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. Show that the condition “ $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is quasicompact” of open subsets $U \subseteq Y$ satisfies the hypotheses of the Affine Communication Lemma. We then call π a **quasicompact morphism**.

Proceed as follows. Assume $Y = \text{Spec } A$ is affine and $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (1)$ in A .

- (i) If $\pi^{-1}(Y) = X$ is quasicompact, show that $\pi^{-1}(D(f_i))$ is quasicompact. (Pull f_i to a global section on X and use Problem 4.)
- (ii) If $\pi^{-1}(D(f_i))$ is quasicompact for all i , show that X is quasicompact.

Solution. For step 1, let $g_i = \pi^\#(f_i) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. From the definition of morphism of schemes, values of regular functions pull back, i.e. if $\pi(p) = q$ we have a map of residue fields $\pi^\# : k(q) \rightarrow k(p)$ taking $f(q)$ to $g(p)$. So we have

$$\pi^{-1}D(f_i) = \{p \in X : f_i(\pi(p)) \neq 0\} = \{p \in X : g_i(p) \neq 0\} = X_{g_i}$$

in the notation of Problem 4. This is quasicompact by 4(b).

For step 2: the preimage of an open cover is an open cover, so the sets X_{g_i} cover X . Since X is covered by finitely-many quasicompact sets, X is quasicompact. \square

- (8) Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. Show that the condition “ $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is affine” satisfies the conditions of the Affine Communication Lemma. We then call π an **affine morphism**, a.k.a. a family of affine varieties.

(Adapt the proof that quasicohherence is a local property. For the second condition, you should be showing the following: let $Y = \text{Spec } R$ and let $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (1) \in R$. Let $g_i = \pi^\#(f_i) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. By assumption, each open subscheme $X_{g_i} = \{x \in X : g_i(x) \neq 0\}$ is affine. Show that for each $f \in R$, letting $g = \pi^\#(f)$, the natural map $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)_g \rightarrow \Gamma(X_g, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism.)

Solution sketch. I'll just explain why this is the correct reduction. (The actual details of showing that the map $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)_g \rightarrow \Gamma(X_g, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is an isomorphism are essentially identical to the proof from class.)

First note that Step 1 is easy: if $Y = \text{Spec } R$ is affine and $\pi^{-1}(Y) = X = \text{Spec } A$ is affine, and $f \in R$, then $\pi^{-1}(D(f)) = D(g)$ where $f \mapsto g$ via the ring map $R \rightarrow A$. This is affine since it is just $\text{Spec } A_g$.

For Step 2, we are assuming each X_{g_i} is affine. We want to show that X is affine, so in fact we want to show $X \cong \text{Spec } \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Recall that, for any affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$, morphisms $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ are equivalent to ring maps $A \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. So the identity ring map of $A := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ corresponds to a morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$.

Restricting $\text{Spec } A$ to each $\text{Spec } A_{g_i}$ in turn, we obtain the ring maps on global sections, which (by the work shown in the problem) are

$$A_{g_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X_{g_i}, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Since X_{g_i} is assumed to be *affine*, the fact that these are ring isomorphisms implies that the corresponding scheme morphisms $X_{g_i} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A_{g_i}$ are isomorphisms of schemes.

Finally, we use the general fact that “being an isomorphism is local on the target”: if $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is any morphism of schemes, and $Y = \bigcup U_i$, then π is an isomorphism if and only if $\pi^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i$ is an isomorphism for all i . (This is obvious for maps of sets; almost obvious for continuous maps of topological spaces, and then once we know π is a homeomorphism of spaces, it’s immediate that we have stalk isomorphisms at every point, hence an isomorphism of structure sheaves.) \square