

**MATH 819 – HW2 (SHEAFIFICATION, STRUCTURE SHEAVES,  
BASICS OF SCHEMES)**

Instructor problems:

- (1) (Gluing and generic points) Recall the gluing of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  from HW1. In this problem, view the open sets  $U_i$  and  $U_{ij}$  as affine schemes (i.e. including non-closed points).  
 (a) The following are equivalent to one of the gluing maps from HW1:

$$U_2 \supset U_{21} \xleftarrow{\sim} U_{12} \subset U_1$$

$$k[x, y] \hookrightarrow k[x, y, 1/y] \xrightarrow{\phi} k[x, z, 1/z] \hookleftarrow k[x, z]$$

$$x \mapsto x/z,$$

$$y \mapsto 1/z.$$

Let  $(f) = (y^2 - x^3 - 1) \in \text{Spec}(k[x, y])$  and  $(g) = (z - x^3 - z^3) \in \text{Spec}(k[x, z])$ . (You may assume these ideals are prime.) Show that  $\phi$  identifies these ideals. *Something similar happens in the third chart, so our gluing maps for  $\mathbb{P}^2$  also glue together  $\text{Spec } k[x, y]/(f)$  and  $\text{Spec } k[x, z]/(g)$  (and one more) to give an elliptic curve  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ . Overall, the underlying set of the scheme  $\mathbb{P}^2$  has just one non-closed “generic point” corresponding to  $C$ .*

- (b) Which points of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  are *not* in  $U_2$ ? (Hint: various closed points but only *one* non-closed point.)

**Solution to (b).** The gluing isomorphism

$$U_2 \supset U_{21} \xleftarrow{\sim} U_{12} \subset U_1$$

$$k[x, y] \hookrightarrow k[x, y, 1/y] \xrightarrow{\phi} k[x, z, 1/z] \hookleftarrow k[x, z]$$

identifies the open subset  $U_{12} \subset U_1$  with points coming from  $U_2$ . Since  $U_{12}$  is the distinguished open set  $D(z) \subset U_1$ , its complement is  $V(z)$ , which has only one non-closed point (namely  $p = (z)$  itself). Similarly, from

$$U_2 \supset U_{20} \xleftarrow{\sim} U_{02} \subset U_0$$

$$k[x, y] \hookrightarrow k[x, y, 1/x] \xrightarrow{\phi} k[y, z, 1/z] \hookleftarrow k[y, z]$$

we see that  $U_{02} \subset U_0$  is  $D(z)$ , so the only non-closed point of  $U_0$  not in  $U_2$  is  $V(z)$ . This represents the same non-closed point of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as  $V(z)$  on the  $U_1$  chart.

In summary, the points of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  not in  $U_2$  are:

- The points corresponding to homogeneous coordinates  $[x : y : 0]$ ,
- The non-closed point  $V(z)$ , which is the generic point of the line  $[x : y : 0]$ .  $\square$

- (2) (Pictures of nonreducedness) In this problem, for an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$ , by abuse of notation write  $\mathbb{V}(I)$  for the scheme  $\text{Spec } R/I$ . The *scheme-theoretic intersection*  $\mathbb{V}(I) \cap \mathbb{V}(J)$  is by definition  $\mathbb{V}(I+J) = \text{Spec } R/(I+J)$  (**not**  $\text{Spec } R/\sqrt{I+J}$ .) We'll examine these concepts further in class.

Let  $X = \text{Spec } \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(x, z)^2}$ . Draw a picture of  $X$  (it looks like a tube in  $\mathbb{A}^3$ ).

- (a) Let  $X' = X \cap \mathbb{V}(x)$ . Draw a picture that makes it clear that  $X' \subseteq \mathbb{V}(x)$ . Does your picture suggest  $X'$  is contained in  $\mathbb{V}(x+z)$ ? Check: is  $x+z \in (x, z)^2 + (x)$ ?
- (b) Let  $X'' = X \cap \mathbb{V}(xy - z)$ . Draw a picture of  $X''$  in  $\mathbb{A}^3$  (it may be helpful to first plot  $xy - z = 0$ , which is a quadric surface containing the  $y$ -axis. Try <https://math3d.org>.)  
Let  $H = \mathbb{V}(ax + bz)$  be *any* plane containing the  $y$ -axis (assume  $a$  and  $b$  are not both 0). Show that  $X''$  is *not* contained in  $H$ . That is, the nonreduced structure of  $X''$  “twists around”, following the shape of  $\mathbb{V}(z - xy)$ .

- (c) Let  $Y = \text{Spec } \frac{k[x, y]}{xy}$ , the union of the  $x$  and  $y$  axes. Let  $Z$  be a tangent vector at the origin pointing in *any* direction (figure out the equations for  $Z$ ). Show that  $Z \subseteq Y$ . So  $Y$  contains the entire 2D “tangent space” at the origin. This should be surprising – you might have expected  $Y$  to only contain the vertical and horizontal tangent vectors. In general for schemes we only have

$$(Y_1 \cup Y_2) \cap Z \supseteq (Y_1 \cap Z) \cup (Y_2 \cap Z).$$

**Solutions.**

(a) To see that  $x + z \notin (x, z)^2 + (x)$ , we can write  $(x, z)^2 + (x) = (x^2, xz, z^2, x) = (x, z^2)$ . Then it's clear that  $x + z \notin (x, z^2)$ .

(b) To see that  $ax + bz \notin (x, z)^2 + (xy - z)$ , it's convenient to mod out and ask whether  $ax + bz = 0$  in the quotient ring

$$\frac{k[x, y, z]}{(x, z)^2 + (xy - z)} = \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(x^2, xz, z^2, xy - z)} \cong \frac{k[x, y]}{(x^2, x^3y, x^2y^2)} = \frac{k[x, y]}{(x^2)}.$$

Under this isomorphism (where we have set  $z = xy$ ), our element is  $ax + bz = ax + bxy = x(a + by)$ . This is not a multiple of  $x^2$  in  $k[x, y]$ , so it is not zero in the quotient by  $x^2$ .

(c) A tangent vector along the line  $ax + by = 0$  would be given by the ideal  $(x, y)^2 + (ax + by)$ , i.e. it would be  $\text{Spec } \frac{k[x, y]}{(x, y)^2 + (ax + by)}$ . This is just  $(x^2, xy, y^2, ax + by)$  and contains the ideal  $(xy)$ , so it is a subscheme of  $\frac{k[x, y]}{(xy)}$ . Indeed we can see directly that  $(xy) \subseteq (x, y)^2$ , so the entire “fat neighborhood”  $\text{Spec } \frac{k[x, y]}{(x, y)^2}$  is contained in the union of the two axes... despite the fact that  $\frac{k[x, y]}{(xy)}$  is reduced. I don't have a good picture of this.

Pictures for (a), (b):

