THE DIMENSION OF THURSTON'S SPINE

MAXIME FORTIER BOURQUE

ABSTRACT. We show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $g \geq 2$ such that the set of closed hyperbolic surfaces whose systoles fill has dimension at least $(5-\varepsilon)g$. In particular, the dimension of this set—proposed as a spine for moduli space by Thurston—is larger than the virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group.

1. Introduction

A systole in a closed hyperbolic surface is a closed geodesic of minimal length. The word "systole" is also used for the common length of these geodesics. A set of closed geodesics fills if each component of the complement of their union is contractible, in other words, if it cuts the surface into polygons. Let \mathcal{T}_g be the Teichmüller space of closed hyperbolic surfaces of genus g and \mathcal{X}_q the subset of such surfaces whose systoles fill. In a 3page preprint [Thu85], Thurston claimed to prove that \mathcal{T}_g admits a mapping class group equivariant deformation retract into \mathcal{X}_q . The idea of the proof is to construct a continuous mapping class group invariant vector field on \mathcal{T}_g which vanishes only on \mathcal{X}_g and such that the systole length increases along flow lines. As pointed out in [Ji14], this is not enough to guarantee to existence of a deformation retraction into \mathcal{X}_g since one can construct a continuous vector field on a closed disk which vanishes only on the boundary, yet the disk does not deformation retract into its boundary. Another reason to be skeptical is that since the systole is a topological Morse function on \mathcal{T}_q [Akr03], one can also define an invariant vector field that vanishes only at the critical points, but \mathcal{T}_g does not deformation retract into this infinite discrete set. The problem in both cases is that some flow lines flow away from the zero set initially even though they eventually flow back into it elsewhere. In general, finding spines is a very delicate business [PS08b, PS08a, Lac18].

Version 1 of a preprint by Irmer [Irm22] claimed to prove that \mathcal{X}_g is indeed an invariant spine and that its dimension is 4g-5. However, [Irm22, Lemma 18] together with [FB20, Theorem 1.3] implies [FB20, Conjecture 1.2], which contradicts this dimension count. That statement has since been amended. Given this conundrum, we independently prove the following weaker version of [FB20, Conjecture 1.2].

Theorem 1.1. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $g \geq 2$ such that \mathcal{X}_g has dimension at least $(5 - \varepsilon)g$.

Conjecture 1.2 in [FB20] is that 5 can be replaced by 6 above.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 works by finding surfaces with roughly g systoles that fill and then applying the implicit function theorem to get a submanifold of codimension roughly g where these curves remain systoles. These surfaces are built using a simplified variant of a construction from [FB20]. The part that was missing in [FB20] to prove Theorem 1.1 was a submersion property, which we were not able to prove because of the complexity of the graphs used to glue the surfaces. This is remedied here by simplifying the structure of the graphs. The drawback is that the number of systoles gets larger, so we do not obtain the full conjecture.

2. Surfaces from maps of large girth

In this section, we give a simple construction of a hyperbolic surface X(t, M) that depends on a parameter t > 0 and a graph M such that for a certain t_0 the systoles fill.

For every integer $q \geq 3$ and parameter t > 0, there exists a unique right-angled semi-regular polygon polygon P(t,q) with 2q sides, half of which have length t. To construct P(t,q), start with a quadrilateral Q(t,q) with one vertex v_0 of angle π/q , three right angles, and one of the sides opposite to v_0 of length t/2, then apply repeated reflections in the sides adjacent to v_0 . To see why Q(t,q) exists and is unique, we start with two rays r_1 , r_2 at angle $\pi/q < \pi/2$ from the point v_0 . There is a point w on the ray r_2 such that the geodesic Γ_w through w and orthogonal to r_2 is asymptotic to r_1 . As w moves away from v_0 along r_2 , the distance between Γ_w and r_1 is strictly increasing, continuous, and varies from 0 to ∞ . By the intermediate value theorem, there exists a choice of w such that this distance is exactly t/2 and this choice is unique by strict monotonicity.

We will need the fact that the common length s(t) of the other sides of P(t,q) is a strictly decreasing function of t. One reason why this is true is because the quadrilaterals Q(t,q) all have the same area, so none of them is contained in any other. This means that as one side gets further from r_1 , the other side gets closer to r_2 . In fact, we have $\cos(\pi/q) = \sinh(t/2) \sinh(s(t)/2)$ [Bus10, Equation 2.3.1(i) on p.454]. We will say that the sides of P(t,q) of length t are blue and those of length s(t) are red.

Let $p, q \geq 3$ be integers and let M be a finite orientable surface map of type $\{p,q\}$ and girth p. This means that M is a finite graph embedded in a surface such that each vertex has degree q, each complementary face has p sides, and we require that every embedded cycle in M has length at least p. The existence of such an M is proved in [Eva79, Theorem 11]. One can further require that M is flag-transitive, but this will not be needed here.

We now describe a hyperbolic surface B(t, M) with boundary obtained by gluing copies of P(t, q) along M. To each vertex $v \in M$, corresponds a copy of P_v of P(t, q). There is a cyclic ordering of the edges adjacent to v in M coming from its embedding in a surface. We thus can thus associate the blue sides of P_v with the edges adjacent to v in a way that respects this cyclic

ordering. For every edge $e = \{v, w\}$ in M, we glue the polygons P_v and P_w along their side associated to e in a way that respects the orientations on P_v and P_w . Topologically, the resulting surface B(t, M) is a thickening of the map M. Geometrically, it is a compact hyperbolic surface with geodesic boundary tiled by copies of P(t, q). Each boundary component of B(t, M) is a concatenation of exactly p red sides of polygons P(t, q) as it corresponds to a face of M. Its length is thus s(t)p.

Finally, the surface X(t, M) is defined as the double of B(t, M) across its boundary. This means that we take two copies of B(t, M) with opposite orientations and glue corresponding boundary components without twist. The blue arcs in the two copies of B(t, M) line up in pairs to form closed geodesics of length 2t in X(t, M).

Another way to think of X(t, M) is to first double P(t, q) across its red sides to form a sphere S(t, q) with q blue boundary components that come with a natural cyclic ordering. Then X(t, M) is obtained by gluing copies of S(t, q) along M. The special case where q = 3 is the familiar way of assembling a surface out of pairs of pants without twists.

Let us count the number of red and blue curves in X(t, M) in terms of its genus.

Lemma 2.1. If g is the genus of X(t, M), then its number of blue curves is $\frac{q}{q-2}(g-1)$ and its number of red curves is $\frac{2q}{p(q-2)}(g-1)$.

Proof. By the Gauss–Bonnet formula, the area of X(t, M) is $4\pi(g-1)$ and the area of P(t, q) is $\pi(q-2)$. Since there are 2V(M) polygons in X(t, M) where V(M) is the number of vertices in M, we have

$$2(g-1) = (q-2)V(M).$$

The number of blue geodesics in X(t, M) is E(M), the number of edges in M, while the number of red geodesics is F(M), the number of faces in M. Since each edge of M belongs to two vertices and two faces, and each face has p edges and each vertex belongs to q edges, we have

$$pF(M) = 2E(M) = qV(M).$$

We thus get

$$E(M) = \frac{q}{2}V(M) = \frac{q}{q-2}(g-1) \text{ and } F(M) = \frac{q}{p}V(M) = \frac{2q}{p(q-2)}(g-1)$$
 as required. \square

By taking p and q sufficiently large, we can make sure that there are fewer than $(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})(g - 1)$ blue curves and $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(g - 1)$ red curves for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

3. Systoles

We now determine which curves are the systoles in $X(M) = X(t_0, M)$ where t_0 is chosen in such a way that $2t_0 = s(t_0)p$, so that the red and blue curves have the same length.

The following lemma was proved in [FB20, Lemma 2.3] in the symmetric case t = s(t), but the same argument works in the asymmetric case. We repeat it for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.1. Every arc in P(t,q) between different red sides has length at least t with equality only if it is a blue side and every arc between different blue sides has length at least s(t) with equality only if it is a red side.

Proof. Let α be a geodesic arc of minimal length between two red sides. Then α is orthogonal to the red sides of P(t,q) at its endpoints. If α is not a blue side, then it joins two non-consecutive red sides. This implies that the rotation of angle $2\pi/q$ of P(t,q) around its center sends α to an arc β that intersects it, because their endpoints are intertwined along $\partial P(t,q)$. Following α initially and then continuing along β after the point of intersection produces an arc γ of the same length as α and β with endpoints in distinct red sides. Since γ is not geodesic, we can produce a strictly shorter arc, which is a contradiction. We conclude that α is a blue side. The other statement follows by exchanging the words "red" and "blue" in the above argument.

We then move on to the double S(t,q) of P(t,q) across the red sides. The proof of the following lemma is identical to analogous results in [FB20].

Lemma 3.2. For every t > 0, the systoles in S(t,q) are the boundary geodesics of length 2t. The shortest arcs between distinct boundary components of S(t,q) are the red arcs of length s(t). Finally, every arc from a boundary component to itself in S(t,q) that cannot be homotoped into the boundary has length strictly larger than t.

Proof. Let γ be a systole in S(t,q). Then γ crosses at least two red arcs since the complement of any (q-1) red arcs in S(t,q) is simply connected. Any segment of γ between two consecutive intersection points with the red arcs stays in one of the two polygons P(t,q) and joins two distinct red sides, hence has length at least t by the previous lemma. Thus, γ has length at least 2t with equality only if it is a blue boundary geodesic.

Let α be an arc of minimal between distinct boundary components of S(t,q). Such an arc is necessarily geodesic and orthogonal to the boundary at endpoints. If α is not contained in one of the two copies of P(t,q), then there is an non-geodesic arc of the same length that starts along α and continues along its reflection across the red arcs after an intersection point, which is a contradiction. We conclude α is contained in one of the two copies of P(t,q), so that α has length at least s(t) by the previous lemma, with equality only if it is a red arc.

Finally, let α be a non-trivial arc from a boundary component b to itself of length at most t. The curved formed by α together with the shorter of the two subarcs of b between its endpoints is homotopically non-trivial and of length at most 2t. By the first paragraph of this proof, this curve must be a boundary geodesic, which is a contradiction.

Let $X(M) = X(t_0, M)$ where t_0 is chosen as above so that $2t_0 = p s(t_0)$. Then the systoles in X(M) are precisely the blue and the red curves.

Proposition 3.3. Let $p, q \geq 3$ be integers and let M be a map of type $\{p, q\}$ and girth p. Then the systoles in X(M) are the blue curves and the red curves. In particular, they fill.

Proof. Let γ be a closed geodesic in X(M) which is not a blue curve. Then γ is transverse to the blue curves and we consider its combinatorial shadow σ in the map M, a loop that keeps track of which subsurfaces S(t,q) the curve γ visits.

If σ is non-contractible in M, then its length is at least p by the hypothesis on the girth of M. This means that γ contains at least p segments that connect distinct boundary components of S(t,q). By Lemma 3.2, γ has length at least $s(t_0)p$, with equality only if it is a red curve.

If σ is contractible in M, then it has at least two backtracking points where it traverses an edge back and forth. These backtracking points correspond to two disjoint subarcs in γ that go from one boundary component of a copy of S(t,q) to itself. Each subarc has length strictly larger than t_0 , so γ has length strictly larger than $2t_0 = s(t_0)p$ so it is not a systole.

We conclude that the shortest closed geodesics in X(M) are the blue curves and the red curves. Since these curves cut the surface into polygons, they fill.

4. Deformations preserving the systoles

Let $X = X(M) = X(M, t_0)$ be as before. We first perturb X to a nearby surface Y so that the systoles remain the same but they do not intersect at right angles anymore.

Lemma 4.1. There exists a hyperbolic surface Y whose systoles are in same homotopy classes as on X and the angle of intersection between any two intersecting ones is a common angle $\theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Proof. Let $L_0 = 2t_0 = s(t_0)p$ be the systole length of X and let $L_1 > L_0$ be the next shortest geodesic length. If $t > t_0$, then the blue curves in X(M,t) have length $2t > L_0$ and the red curves have length $s(t)p < L_0$.

Perform a left twist deformation of length r>0 around each blue curve in X(M,t). Let us temporarily call "green" the geodesics in the same homotopy classes as the red curves after twisting. We claim that all the green curves have the same length and furthermore their angle of intersection with blue curves is equal to a common value θ . This is because each green curve follows a zigzag of red seams of length s(t) and blue arcs of length r. The green curve is then obtained by connecting the midpoints of the red seams and blue segments, bounding a sequence of right triangles along the way (the resulting curve is geodesic and in the right homotopy class). The length μ of the green curves thus satisfies

$$\cosh(\mu/(2p)) = \cosh(r/2)\cosh(s(t)/2)$$

by the hyperbolic Pythagoras formula. In particular, there exists a unique r>0 (depending continuously on t) such that $\mu=2t$, the length of the blue curves. The counter-clockwise angle θ from green to blue, can be calculated from the trigonometric formula

$$\sinh(s(t)/2) = \sin(\theta)\sinh(\mu/(2p)).$$

It is obviously strictly smaller than $\pi/2$.

Now that we no longer have any use for the red seams, we will call the green curves "red" again. For every $t > t_0$, we have chosen r(t) > 0 in such a way that the blue and red curves on the surface Y_t obtained by twisting X(M,t) by distance r(t) around each blue curve have the same length 2t. By Wolpert's lemma [Wol79, Lemma 3.1], the length of any closed geodesic changes by a factor at most $e^{2d(X,Y_t)}$ from X to Y_t , where d is the Teichmüller distance. Since this distance tends to zero as $t \to t_0$ and since the length of any closed geodesic other than the red and blue ones is at least L_1 on X, we can pick t close enough to t_0 so that $2t < (L_0 + L_1)/2$ and any other closed geodesic in Y_t has length at least $(L_0 + L_1)/2$. Let $Y = Y_t$ for any such t.

We now prove that at the point Y, the map that records the lengths of the red curves restricted to the submanifold W of the Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_g where the blue curves remain of length 2t is a submersion, as long as q is odd.

Proposition 4.2. Let \mathcal{R} be the set of red curves in Y. If q is odd, then the map $L: W \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{R}}$ defined by $L(Z) = (\ell_{\alpha}(Z))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}}$ is a submersion at the point Y, where $\ell_{\alpha}(Z)$ is the length of the geodesic α on Z.

Proof. We will show that for every red curve $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$, the basis vector e_{α} with a one in the entry α and zeros elsewhere is in the image of the differential d_YL . To produce e_{α} , we will use the left twist deformations τ_{β} about some blue curves β , which are tangent vectors to paths that remain in W. Recall that α corresponds to a face in the map M. Pick any vertex v along this face. The q edges adjacent to v in M correspond to q blue curves in Y that cut out a sphere with q holes. Enumerate these blue curves as β_1, \ldots, β_q following the cyclic order around v such that β_1 and β_q are the two curves intersecting α . Note that adjacent faces in M are distinct by the hypothesis that its girth is p. That is, no face is glued to itself. This is why the q faces around v are distinct. Let us label α_1 to α_q the q red curves corresponding to these q faces around v, with α_j intersecting precisely β_{j-1} and β_j , where indices are taken modulo q, so that $\alpha_1 = \alpha$.

Consider the tangent vector $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{q} (-1)^j \tau_{\beta_j}$. The cosine formula of Wolpert [Wol81] (see also [Ker83]) states that for any closed geodesic γ transverse to a simple closed geodesic β , we have

$$d\ell_{\gamma}(\tau_{\beta}) = \sum_{z \in \gamma \cap \beta} \cos \angle_{z}(\gamma, \beta)$$

where $\angle_z(\gamma,\beta)$ is the counter-clockwise angle from γ to β at the point z and the sum runs over all intersection points. Any of the blue curves β_j intersects only the two red curves α_{j-1} and α_j (each one in a single point) and the angle from these red curves to β_j at the intersection points is equal to some fixed $\theta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$ by Lemma 4.1.

For a red curve γ different from $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q$, we have $d\ell_{\gamma}(\xi) = 0$ as there is no intersection between γ and the curves β_i . For $1 < j \le q$, we have

$$d\ell_{\alpha_j}(\xi) = (-1)^{j-1} d\ell_{\alpha_j}(\tau_{\beta_{j-1}}) + (-1)^j d\ell_{\alpha_j}(\tau_{\beta_j})$$

= $(-1)^{j-1} \cos \theta + (-1)^j \cos \theta = 0,$

while for j = 1 we get

$$d\ell_{\alpha}(\xi) = d\ell_{\alpha_1}(\xi) = (-1)^q d\ell_{\alpha}(\tau_{\beta_q}) - d\ell_{\alpha}(\tau_{\beta_1}) = -2\cos\theta \neq 0$$

since q is odd.

We have thus proved that a non-zero multiple of the basis vector e_{α} is in the image of $d_{Y}L$ and hence that $d_{Y}L$ is surjective since $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ was arbitrary.

Theorem 1.1 follows easily from this proposition.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let Y be as above with q odd. Note that W is a smooth submanifold of dimension $(6 - \frac{q}{q-2})(g-1)$ inside \mathcal{T}_g since we can complete the set of blue curves into a pair of pants decomposition to define Fenchel–Nielsen coordinates, and W is the submanifold obtained by fixing $\frac{q}{q-2}(g-1)$ of the coordinates constant equal to 2t, namely, the lengths of the blue curves (the number of which was determined in Lemma 2.1).

By Proposition 4.2, $L: W \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{R}}$ is a submersion at the point Y, where it takes the value $L(Y) = (2t, \ldots, 2t)$. By the implicit function theorem, near Y the inverse image $L^{-1}(2t, \ldots, 2t)$ is a smooth submanifold of W of codimension $|\mathcal{R}| = \frac{2q}{p(q-2)}(g-1)$ (see Lemma 2.1). Thus, there is a cell C of dimension

$$\left(6 - \frac{q}{q-2} - \frac{2q}{p(q-2)}\right)(g-1)$$

through Y in Teichmüller space where the red and blue curves all remain of length 2t. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose q odd large enough and p large enough so that the above number is at least $(5 - \varepsilon)g$.

By Wolpert's lemma, close enough to Y in C, the systoles will remain the red and blue curves and thus fill. This shows that \mathcal{X}_g contains a cell of dimension at least $(5-\varepsilon)g$.

Acknowledgements. I thank Ingrid Irmer for posting the preprint [Irm22], which prompted me to think about this problem again.

References

[Akr03] H. Akrout, Singularités topologiques des systoles généralisées, Topology 42 (2003), no. 2, 291–308.

- [Bus10] P. Buser, Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010, Reprint of the 1992 edition.
- [Eva79] C.W. Evans, Net structure and cages, Discrete Math. 27 (1979), no. 2, 193–204.
- [FB20] M. Fortier Bourque, Hyperbolic surfaces with sublinearly many systoles that fill, Comment. Math. Helv. **95** (2020), 515–534.
- [Irm22] I. Irmer, The differential topology of the Thurston spine of Teichmüller space, preprint, arXiv: 2211.03429v1, 2022.
- [Ji14] L. Ji, Well-rounded equivariant deformation retracts of Teichmüller spaces, Enseign. Math. **60** (2014), no. 1-2, 109–129.
- [Ker83] S.P. Kerckhoff, The Nielsen realization problem, Ann. of Math. (2) 117 (1983), no. 2, 235–265.
- [Lac18] C. Lacoste, On the difficulty of finding spines, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 356 (2018), no. 2, 141–145.
- [PS08a] A. Pettet and J. Souto, Minimality of the well-rounded retract, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008), no. 3, 1543–1556.
- [PS08b] ______, The spine that was no spine, Enseign. Math. (2) **54** (2008), no. 3-4, 273–285.
- [Thu85] W.P. Thurston, A spine for Teichmüller space, preprint, 1985.
- [Wol79] S. Wolpert, The length spectra as moduli for compact Riemann surfaces, Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), no. 2, 323–351.
- [Wol81] ______, An elementary formula for the Fenchel-Nielsen twist, Comment. Math. Helv. **56** (1981), no. 1, 132–135.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE STATISTIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL, 2920, CHEMIN DE LA TOUR, MONTRÉAL (QC), H3T 1J4, CANADA Email address: maxime.fortier.bourque@umontreal.ca