# Embedding Ellipsoids in the one-point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$

**Dusa McDuff** 

Department of Mathematics, Barnard College, Columbia University

report on a joint project with Maria Bertozzi, Tara Holm, Emily Maw, Grace Mwakyoma, Ana Rita Pires, Morgan Weiler

> Montreal Zoominar October 2, 2020

# (I): The Embedding Capacity Function

Consider symplectic embeddings of the open ellipsoid

$$\mathsf{E}(1,z) := \left\{ (\zeta_1,\zeta_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \ \left| \ \pi(|\zeta_1|^2 + rac{1}{z}|\zeta_2|^2) < 1 
ight\}$$

into a scaling  $\lambda X := (X, \lambda \omega)$  of a sympl. 4-manifold  $(X, \omega)$ . Define  $c_X(z) := \inf \{ \lambda \mid E(1, z) \text{ sympl. embeds in } \lambda X \}.$ 

- ►  $C_X(Z) \ge \sqrt{\frac{z}{\operatorname{Vol}(X,\omega)}}$  (note: we need  $\operatorname{Vol} E(1,z) = z\operatorname{Vol} E(1,1) < \operatorname{Vol}(X,\lambda\omega) = \lambda^2 \operatorname{Vol}(X,\omega)$ , and hence  $\lambda^2 \ge z/\operatorname{Vol}(X,\omega)$  if we normalize  $\operatorname{Vol} E(1,1) := 1$ ;
- If X is closed or a convex toric domain, c<sub>X</sub>(z) = √ <sup>z</sup>/<sub>Vol(X,ω)</sub> for sufficiently large z.
- (scaling) for  $\lambda \ge 1$ ,  $c_X(\lambda z) \le \lambda c_X(z)$  because

$$E(1,z) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} X \Longrightarrow E(1,\lambda z) \subset \lambda E(1,z) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} \lambda X$$

Problem: Compute  $c_X(z)$  when  $X = H_b := \mathbb{C}P^2(1) \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2(b)$ .

The case b = 0 (the ball, or  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ )

For  $a \ge 1$  define  $c(z) := c_{H_0}(z) = \inf\{\lambda : E^4(1, z) \text{ embeds in } B^4(\lambda)\}.$ • for  $z \ge 8\frac{1}{36} = (\frac{17}{6})^2$ ,  $c(z) = \sqrt{z}$ - no obstruction except for volume,

- i.e. full fillings
- $\tau^4 < z < 8\frac{1}{36}$  is transitional region (where  $\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ ); • For  $z < \tau^4 \approx 6.7$

there is an infinite staircase

with numerics based on the odd placed

Fibonacci numbers  $F_k := 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, \ldots$ 

• there are obstructions at the outer corners  $\frac{2}{1}, \frac{5}{1}, \frac{13}{2}, \frac{34}{5}, \dots, \frac{F_{2k+5}}{F_{2k+1}}, \dots$  and full fillings at the inner corners  $\frac{2^2}{1^2}, \frac{5^2}{2^2}, \frac{13^2}{5^2}, \dots, \frac{F_{2k+3}^2}{F_{2k+1}^2}, \dots$  Because of rescaling, need only check these values of c(z) to prove  $\exists$  staircase.



2.

# Other targets

McSch = McDuff-Schlenk (2012) for the ball.

- Usher = arXiv:1801.06762 (AGT 2019) Embedding ellipsoids into the irrational polydisc  $B^2(1) \times B^2(b)$ , b > 1 Usher found a doubly infinitely family of staircases  $S_{i,n}$ ,  $i.n \ge 1$ , each at a different irrational value of b, related by numerical moves most impressively by 'Brahmagupta' moves (dating back to 7th century) that relate different solutions of the Diophantine equation  $x^2 2\delta^2 = C$  gave us inspiration, and a useful estimate.
- C-GHMP = Cristofaro-Gardiner, Holm, Mandini, Pires; arXiv:2004.13062; Staircases in rational toric manifolds contains this theorem:

Thm [C-GHMP] If  $H_b = \mathbb{C}P^2(1) \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2(b)$  has a staircase S, then S must accumulate at the point  $z = \operatorname{acc}(b)$  which is the unique solution > 1 of the equation  $z^2 - \left(\frac{(3-b)^2}{1-b^2} - 2\right)z + 1 = 0.$ 

Moreover  $c_{H_b}(\operatorname{acc}(b)) = V_{H_b}(\operatorname{acc}(b))$ . In the toric model (moment polytope) of  $X_b$ , 3 - b is the affine length of the boundary, while  $1 - b^2$  is twice its area.

# The accumulation curve for $H_b$ 4.

The function  $b \mapsto z = \operatorname{acc}(b)$  (where  $z^2 - \left(\frac{(3-b)^2}{1-b^2} - 2\right)z + 1 = 0$ ), decreases for  $0 \le b \le 1/3$  from  $\tau^4 \approx 6.8$  to  $3 + 2\sqrt{2} \approx 5.8$  then increases to  $\infty$  as  $b \to 1$ .



This shows the accumulation point  $z = \operatorname{acc}(b)$ , in a diagram where the y-coordinate of each point on the red curve records the volume constraint  $V_b(\operatorname{acc}(b))$ . The blue point with b = 0 is at  $(\tau^4, \tau^2)$  and is the accumulation point for the Fibonacci stairs. The green point with b = 1/3 is the accumulation point for the stairs in  $H_{1/3}$  (discussed in [C-GHMP]) and is the minimum of the function  $z \mapsto \operatorname{acc}(z)$ . The black point at (z, b) = (6, 1/5) is the place where  $V_b(\operatorname{acc}(b))$  takes its minimum.

# New staircases for $H_b$

We found three new (double) families of staircases — where staircases labelled  $\ell$  (= lower) ascend to the accum point, and those labelled u (= upper) descend.

- ▶  $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell,n}^U)_{n\geq 1}$  and  $(\mathcal{S}_{u,n}^U)_{n\geq 0}$  for  $b_n \in (5/11, 1)$  with accumulation points  $a_{\ell,n,\infty}^U < a_{u,n,\infty}^U$  in  $(7, \infty)$  and  $b_n \to 1$ ;
- ▶  $(S_{\ell,n}^L)_{n\geq 0}$  and  $(S_{u,n}^L)_{n\geq 1}$  for  $b_n \in [0, 1/5)$  with  $a_{\ell,n,\infty}^L < a_{u,n,\infty}^L$  in  $(6, \tau^4]$ and  $b_n \to 1/5$ : note  $S_{\ell,0}^L$  is the Fibonacci staircase at b = 0.
- ▶  $(\mathcal{S}_{\ell,n}^{E})_{n\geq 1}$  and  $(\mathcal{S}_{u,n}^{E})_{n\geq 0}$  for  $b \in (1/5, 19/61)$  and  $a_{\ell,n,\infty}^{U} < a_{u,n,\infty}^{U}$  in (35/6, 6) and  $b_n \rightarrow 1/5$ .

• These staircase families are related by symmetries, e.g. there is a symmetry operation (the reflection  $\Psi : z \mapsto \frac{6z-35}{z-6}$  with fixed point z = 7) that for each n takes  $S_{\ell,n}^U$  to  $S_{u,n}^L$ , and  $S_{u,n}^U$  to  $S_{\ell,n}^L$ .

• These staircases are 2-periodic, i.e their steps have z-coordinates of the form  $[2n+7, \{2n+5, 2n+1\}^k, 2n+4]$ . We conjecture that all 2-periodic staircases are images of the staircases  $S_{\bullet,n}^U$  under some symmetry operation.

• We have found other potential staircases that are 4-, or 6-periodic etc, but have not yet embarked on the proof that these satisfy all requisite conditions.

## Blocked *b*-intervals

Let  $\star = U, L$ , or *E*, and denote by  $b_{\ell,n}^{\star}, b_{u,n}^{\star}$  the *b*-value that supports the corresponding staircase  $S_{\ell,n}^{\star}, S_{u,n}^{\star}$ . Then we found:

**Theorem** For  $\star = U, L$ , or E and each n the set  $J_n^*$  of b values between  $b_{\ell,n}^*, b_{u,n}^*$  is obstructed, i.e.  $c_{H_b}(\operatorname{acc}(b)) > V_b(\operatorname{acc}(b))$  for all  $b \in J_n^*$ .



This figure shows  $J_0^U$  (in brown),  $J_1^U$  (red),  $J_2^U$  (purple), and part of  $J_3^U$  (blue) mapped onto the accumulation curve so you can see the corresponding *z* values. The interval  $J_n^U$  contains the point 2n + 6 and has length converging to 2; thus 'most' z > 6 values are not the limit points of a staircase — such points all lie in the short green intervals.

## The general picture

We know: Stair  $\subseteq$  Unobstr := [0, 1)\Block. For example, 1/5 is unobstructed, but we prove it has no staircase.

- ▶ **Conjecture:** [C-GHMP] The only rational points in *Stair* are 0, 1/3.
- Conjecture: The irrational b ∈ Stair are precisely the endpoints of the components of the open set Block.
- Conjecture: Denote

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Block}_z^+ := \operatorname{acc} \big( (1/3,1) \cap \textit{Block} \big) \subset (3+2\sqrt{2},\infty) \\ \\ \textit{Block}_z^- := \operatorname{acc} \big( (0,1/3) \cap \textit{Block} \big) \subset (3+2\sqrt{2},\infty) \end{array}$$

Then the intervals in  $Block_z^+$  are invariant under the reflection

$$\Phi: (35/6,\infty) \to (35/6,\infty), \quad z \mapsto rac{35z-204}{6z-35}, \quad \Phi(6)=6,$$

and iterates of the shift  $Sh^2: z \mapsto \frac{35z-1}{6z-1}$ . (and similarly for  $Block_z^-$ ). There also are symmetries (e.g.  $\Psi: S_{\bullet}^U \to S_{\bullet}^L$ ) that interchange  $Block_z^+$  and  $Block_z^-$ .

# (II): Key technical points of the proof

- (I): Relation to blowing up: ∃B<sup>4</sup>(w) → λH<sub>b</sub> = CP<sup>2</sup>(λ)#CP<sup>2</sup>(λb) iff there is a symplectic form on the blow up H<sub>b</sub>#CP<sup>2</sup> = CP<sup>2</sup>#2CP<sup>2</sup> in the class dual to λL − λbE<sub>0</sub> − wE<sub>1</sub> (where E<sub>1</sub> is the new exceptional divisor)
- (II): Decomposition into balls Every rational number p/q > 1 has a rational weight decomposition  $w(p/q) := (w_1, w_2, ..., w_N)$  (where  $w_1 = 1, w_N = 1/q$ ) s.t.

 $E(1, \frac{p}{q}) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} \lambda H_b \iff \sqcup_i B^4(w_i) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} \lambda H_b$ 

- (Key result = I + II)  $\exists E(1, \frac{p}{q}) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} \lambda H_b$  iff  $\exists$  a symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $X_{N+1} :=$  the *N*-fold blow up of  $H_b$  in class  $\alpha$  dual to  $\lambda L \lambda b E_0 \sum_i w_i E_i$ .
- ► (III): Symplectic cone of  $X_{N+1}$  (Li-Li +...):  $\exists \omega : [\omega] = \alpha$  iff
  - $\alpha^2 > 0$  (volume constraint) and
  - $\int_{\mathbf{E}} \alpha > 0$  for all classes **E** represented by exceptional spheres.

The class  $\mathbf{E} = dL - mE_0 - \sum_{i \ge 1} m_i E_i =: (d, m, \mathbf{m})$  of such a curve satisfies  $c_1(\mathbf{E}) = 3d - m - \sum m_i = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} = d^2 - m^2 - \sum m_i^2 = -1$ . (the Diophantine conditions).  $\mathbf{E}$  must also transform correctly under Cremona

(the Diophantine conditions). **E** must also transform correctly under Cremona moves (a geometric condition).

## The embedding obstructions $\mu_{E,b}$

$$egin{aligned} & E(1,z) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} \lambda H_b \iff & \sqcup_i \ B^4(w_i(z)) \stackrel{s}{\hookrightarrow} \lambda H_b \ & \iff & \lambda^2(d^2-b^2) > \sum w_i(z)^2, \ ext{and} \ & \lambda d - \lambda mb - \sum_{i\geq 1} m_i w_i(z) > 0 \ \ \forall \ \ & \mathbf{E}_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $c_{\mathcal{H}_b}(z) \geq \frac{\sum_{i\geq 1} m_i w_i(z)}{d-mb} =: \mu_{\mathsf{E},b}(z)$ , where  $\mathsf{E} = (d, m, \mathsf{m})$ .

An exceptional class  $\mathbf{E} = (d, m, \mathbf{m})$  is perfect if  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{qw}(\mathbf{p}/\mathbf{q})$  for some center point a = p/q. In this case  $\sum m_i w_i(a)$  is as large as possible (since the two vectors are parallel) and one gets a particularly nice obstruction for z near a:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\mathsf{E},b}(z) &= \frac{p}{d-mb} & \text{if } a \leq z < a + \varepsilon - \text{constant} \\ &= \frac{qz}{d-mb} & \text{if } a - \varepsilon < z \leq a - \text{line through 0} \end{split}$$

Proposition: If **E** is perfect and b = m/d then  $c_{H_b}(a) = \mu_{E,b}(a)$ .

- if  $c_{H_{b_0}}(a) = \mu_{\mathbf{E},b_0}(a)$  we say that  $\mu_{\mathbf{E},b}$  is live at a for  $b = b_0$ .
- The way we calculate c<sub>H<sub>b0</sub></sub>(z) is to show that some μ<sub>E,b</sub> is live at z for that b<sub>0</sub>. For this we need E to be exceptional, i.e. besides satisfying the (numerical) Diophantine conditions it must reduce correctly under Cremona moves (which is hard to check).

(you can also define obstructions and do calculations using ECH – but this is not so relevant in this context)

## Continued fractions and weight expansions

Recall: an except. divisor  $\mathbf{E} = (d, m, \mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{dL} - \mathbf{mE}_0 - \sum \mathbf{m}_i \mathbf{E}_i$  is perfect if  $\mathbf{m} = q\mathbf{w}(p/q)$ .

Here, the weight expansion  $q\mathbf{w}(p/q)$  of a fraction  $\frac{p}{q}$  is given by decomposing a rectangle into squares:

eg 9w $(\frac{25}{9}) = (9,9,7,2,2,2,1,1)$ 



corresponds to

$$\frac{25}{9} = [2; 1, 3, 2] = \frac{2}{1} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{2}{1} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{7}} = 2 + \frac{7}{9}.$$

The entries of the continued fraction are the multiplicities of the weights

#### Obstructions: Fibonacci numbers and continued fractions 11

Ratios of Fibonacci numbers give rise to very special continued fractions: The Fibonacci numbers  $1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \dots, F_k, F_{k+1}, \dots$ have ratios  $\frac{1}{1}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{8}{5}, \frac{13}{8}, \dots$  with weight expansions  $W(\frac{8}{5}) = (5, 3, 2, 1, 1)$ . – 2 all entries (except the last) of multiplicity 1 so  $\frac{8}{5} = [1; 1, 1, 2] = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1}}}$ . 5 3 In general,  $\frac{F_{k+1}}{F_{l}} = [1; 1, 1, 1, \dots, 2].$ In the limit we get  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_{\cdot}} = \tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  – the golden ratio.  $\tau$  is irrational, with infinite cont. fract expansion  $[1; 1, 1, 1, \ldots]$ Hence the ratios  $\frac{F_{4k+5}}{F_{4k+1}}$  with cont. fract = [6; 1, {5, 1}<sup>k-1</sup>, 4] converge to  $\tau^4 = [6; 1, \{5, 1\}^{\infty}]$ . These are some of the centers of the classes of the Fibonacci stairs.

**Fact:** the continued fraction of a number x is eventually periodic exactly if x is a quadratic irrational (such as  $\tau^4 = \frac{7+3\sqrt{5}}{2}$ ).

#### The Fibonacci stairs again



This has steps given by the perfect (exceptional) classes

The inner corners of these stairs lie on the volume constraint. This is a double staircase – i.e. the steps have one of two possible endings that alternate as you go up the steps, so that there is an intertwined pair of staircases

# (III): New features in $H_b = \mathbb{C}P^2(1) \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2(b)$ .

▶ An exceptional class  $\mathbf{B} = (d, m; q\mathbf{w}(p/q))$  is called a center-blocking class if for  $b : \operatorname{acc}(b) = p/q$  we have  $\mu_{\mathbf{B},b}(p/q) > V_b(p/q)$ . (where we choose *b* in the same component of  $[0, 1/3) \cup (1/3, 1)$  as m/d)

13

- In this case, there can be no staircase for b = acc<sup>-1</sup>(p/q) and, by continuity, for all b in an interval J<sub>B</sub>. There is a corresponding blocked z-interval I<sub>B</sub> = acc(J<sub>B</sub>) consisting of points that are not accumulation points of staircases for b > 1/3 (resp. < 1/3.)</p>
- ► In all cases we have investigated, there are staircases accumulating at the end points of *I*<sub>B</sub>. (more details to follow)
- ► Example: the staircases S<sup>U</sup><sub>•,n</sub> lie at the endpoints of the intervals given by the blocking classes

 $\mathbf{B}_{n}^{U} = (n+3, n+2; \mathbf{w}(2n+6)), n \ge 0$  with centers  $6, 8, 10, \dots$ 

when the staircases descend they may be almost overshadowed i.e. sometimes there is a large obstruction that prevents the steps from reaching the volume curve.

# The descending staircase $\mathcal{S}_{u,0}^{E}$

This has accumulation point  $a_{\infty} = [5; 1, 6, \{5, 1\}^{\infty}] \approx 5.86$  with  $b_{\infty} \approx 0.28$ .



14

- the orange line is the volume constraint Vol
- the green line is the parametrized accumulation curve
- $b \mapsto (\operatorname{acc}(b), V_b(\operatorname{acc}(b)) \text{meeting the orange } Vol \text{ when } b = b_{\infty}.$
- the dark blue line is the max. of all obstructions with degree ≤ 200; it is given for z < a<sub>∞</sub> by the blocking class B<sup>E</sup><sub>0</sub>, and for z > a<sub>∞</sub> by the class E = (3,1;2,1<sup>×5</sup>). It goes through the accum. point (a<sub>∞</sub>, V<sub>b<sub>∞</sub></sub>(a<sub>∞</sub>)).
  the brown, red and purple curves are graphs of z → μ<sub>E,b<sub>∞</sub></sub>(z) for three different classes E in the staircase.

#### Pre-staircases

A sequence  $S = (\mathbf{E}_k)_{k \ge 0}$  of perfect classes  $\mathbf{E}_k := (d_k, m_k; q_k \mathbf{w}(p_k/q_k))$  is a pre-staircase if it has the following properties:

- (Recursion) There is an integer σ ≥ 0 such that σ + 4 is a perfect square, and each of the sequences x<sub>k</sub> := d<sub>k</sub>, m<sub>k</sub>, p<sub>k</sub>, q<sub>k</sub> satisfies the recursion x<sub>k+1</sub> = (σ + 2)x<sub>k</sub> x<sub>k-1</sub>, k ≥ 0,
- (Relation) there are integers  $R_0$ ,  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  such that  $R_0 d_k = R_1 p_k + R_2 q_k$ ,  $\forall k \ge 0$ .

Note: If we only know  $p_k$ ,  $q_k$  we may define  $d_k$  by (Relation) and set  $m_k := 3d_k - p_k - q_k$ .

Example:  $S_{u,n}^U$  has limit pt  $a_{u,n,\infty}^U = [2n+7; \{2n+5, 2n+1\}^\infty]$ ; and

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(Centers)} & [2n+7; \{2n+5, 2n+1\}^k, \mathrm{end}_n] \\ & \mathrm{end}_n = 2n+4 \quad \mathrm{or} \ (2n+5, 2n+2) \\ \text{(Recursion)} & x_{n,k+1} = (\sigma_n+2)x_{n,k} - x_{n,k-1}, \ \sigma_n := (2n+1)(2n+5) \\ \text{(Relation)} & (2n+3)d_{n,k} = (n+2)p_{n,k} - (n+4)q_{n,k} \end{array}$$

This is a "double staircase" because there are two ends. All the new staircases have this form. Also, they all have the same recursion (coming from  $\{2n + 5, 2n + 1\}^k$ ) but have different Relations (coming from the blocking classes).

### When is a pre-staircase an actual staircase?

A pre-staircase is a (special kind of) sequence  $S = (\mathbf{E}_k)_{k\geq 0}$  of perfect classes  $\mathbf{E}_k := (d_k, m_k; q_k \mathbf{w}(p_k/q_k))$ . The Recursion implies that the ratios  $p_k/q_k$  and  $m_k/d_k$  both converge. We write

$$\lim_{k} p_k/q_k =: a_{\infty}, \qquad \lim_{k} m_k/d_k =: b_{\infty}.$$

- ▶ Because each class E<sub>k</sub> is obstructive at p<sub>k</sub>/q<sub>k</sub> for b<sub>k</sub> := m<sub>k</sub>/d<sub>k</sub>, the argument in [C-GHMP] implies that acc(b<sub>∞</sub>) = a<sub>∞</sub>. (For this we only need the classes E<sub>k</sub> to satisfy the Diophantine conditions they needn't even be perfect!)
- If the E<sub>k</sub> are perfect, then µ<sub>E<sub>k</sub>,b<sub>k</sub></sub> is live at p<sub>k</sub>/q<sub>k</sub> − and it then follows from the continuity of c<sub>H<sub>b</sub></sub> as a function of b that b<sub>∞</sub> is unobstructed. i.e. c<sub>H<sub>b∞</sub></sub>(a<sub>∞</sub>) = Vol b<sub>∞</sub>(a<sub>∞</sub>).
- ► To have a staircase at b<sub>∞</sub> you need the E<sub>k</sub> to be live at b<sub>∞</sub> which involves further estimates.

# Pre-staircases and blocking classes

The following theorem says that coefficients of the blocking class  ${\bf B}$  determine the relations of its associated pre-staircases.

Theorem: Let  $\mathbf{B} = (d, m; q\mathbf{w}(p/q))$  have associated blocked *z*-interval  $I_{\mathbf{B}}$ .

(i) If the ascending pre-staircase  $S_{\ell}$  accumulates at the lower end point  $a_{\ell,\infty}$  of  $I_{\rm B}$  then its Relation is

$$(3m-d)d_k = (m-q)p_k + mq_k.$$

(ii) If the descending pre-staircase  $S_u$  accumulates at the upper end point  $a_{u,\infty}$  of  $I_{\mathbf{B}}$  where  $\mathbf{B} = (d, m; q\mathbf{w}(p/q))$ , then its Relation is

$$(3m-d)d_k = mp_k + (m-p)q_k$$

Example:  $S_{u,n}^U$  has Relation  $(2n+3)d_{n,k} = (n+2)p_{n,k} - (n+4)q_{n,k}$  and converges to the upper end of  $I_{\mathbf{B}_n^U}$ , where  $\mathbf{B}_n^U$  has d = n+3, m = n+2, p = 2n+6, q = 1.

# Summing up:

- We found three sets of blocking classes and associated 2-periodic staircases:
  - $(\mathbf{B}_n^U)_{n\geq 0}$  that blocks *b*-intervals in (5/11, 1) and *z*-intervals in (6,  $\infty$ )
  - ▶  $(\mathbf{B}_n^L)_{n\geq 0}$  that blocks *b*-intervals in [0, 1/5) and *z*-intervals in (6,  $\tau^4$ )
  - $(\mathbf{B}_n^{\mathcal{E}})_{n\geq 0}$  that blocks *b*-intervals in (1/5, 19/61) and *z*-int. in (35/6, 6).
- We conjecture these are the only 2-periodic staircases with z, b in these intervals (no idea how to prove that)

• There are more blocking classes (and staircases) blocking *b*-intervals in (11/31, 5/11) and *z*-intervals in (35/6, 6) that are the image of the family  $(\mathbf{B}_n^U)_{n\geq 0}$  under the reflection  $[6, \infty) \to (35/6, 6]$  that acts on *z* coordinates by  $z \mapsto \frac{35z-204}{6z-35}$  with fixed point 6. This reflection fixes  $\mathbf{B}_0^U$ , and interchanges its two staircases  $\mathcal{S}_{u,0}^U, \mathcal{S}_{\ell,0}^U$ . (not fully proved yet)

• there are further symmetries of the problem connected to the sequence  $(y_1, y_2, y_3, ...) = (1, 6, 35, 204, 1189, ...)$  (half the even Pell numbers).

# Summing up: Further symmetries

Let  $(y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots) := (0, 1, 6, 35, 204, 1189, \dots)$ 

- ► This sequence gives the values of y for which the Diophantine equation  $x^2 8y^2 = 1$  has a solution.
- ► for all *i*,  $b_i = \operatorname{acc}^{-1}(y_{i+1}/y_i)$  is rational, and  $\lim y_{i+1}/y_i = 3 + 2\sqrt{2} = \operatorname{acc}^{-1}(1/3)$
- ▶ the shift  $Sh^2: z \mapsto \frac{35z-6}{6z-1}$  takes the interval  $I_1 := (35/6, \infty)$  to  $I_3 = (1189/204, 35/6)$  and we conjecture it takes  $\mathbf{B}^U_{\bullet}, \mathcal{S}^U_{\bullet, \bullet}$  to blocking classes/staircases in  $I_3$  (and also for b > 1/3).
- More generally, Sh<sup>2</sup> takes I<sub>i</sub> := (y<sub>i+2</sub>/y<sub>i+1</sub>, y<sub>i</sub>/y<sub>i-1</sub>) to I<sub>i+2</sub> and we conjecture that it takes the blocking classes/stairs with z ∈ I<sub>i</sub> to the blocking classes/stairs with z ∈ I<sub>i+2</sub>. (some of this is proved).

**NOTE:** the symmetries  $z \mapsto \frac{y_i z - y_{i+1}}{y_{i-1} z - y_i}$  act on the continued fraction expansions of the z-variables p/q in a very understandable way. e.g.  $z \mapsto \frac{6z-35}{z-6}$  acts by  $[6; k, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ldots] \mapsto [6 + k; \ell_2, \ell_3, \ldots]$ . So, we can see what happens to the staircase steps. But the action on the (d, m) coordinates of the perfect classes (d, m; qw(p/q)) is much more mysterious. In contrast, Usher encoded *all* his variables in terms of the solutions to a single Diophantine equation on which the Brahmagupta moves act.

Summing up: Structure of *Block*?

We define:  $Block := \{b \in [0,1) \mid c_{H_b}(\operatorname{acc}(b)) > V_b(\operatorname{acc}(b))\}$  – an open set  $Stair := \{b \in [0,1) \mid H_b \text{ has a staircase}\}$ 

and have: Stair  $\subseteq$  Unobstr :=  $[0, 1) \setminus Block$ .

- ► Above we described families of blocking classes B<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub>, each of which defines an interval in *Block*. All seem to have associated staircases.
- But we can prove that almost all the staircase classes are themselves blocking classes!
- > and, whenever we look, we find what seem to be associated staircases
- Therefore *Block* may be dense in [0, 1).

We will post our first paper on arXiv very soon, and another should follow at some point.

— Maria Bertozzi, Tara Holm, Emily Maw, Dusa McDuff, Grace Mwakyoma, Ana Rita Pires, Morgan Weiler