

Bounding the Coefficients of a Divisor of a Given Polynomial

By

Andrew Granville*, Princeton, NJ

(Received 2 January 1989)

Abstract. We find bounds for the coefficients of a divisor g(X) of a given polynomial f(X).

1. Introduction

Algorithms that factor a given polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ in polynomial time use bounds for the coefficients of any possible divisor g of f (see [1]). Currently the most practical such bounds are both due to MIGNOTTE: In [3] he proved that if g is irreducible then

$$\|g\| \le e^{\sqrt{d}} (d+2\sqrt{d}+2)^{1+\sqrt{d}} \|f\|^{1+\sqrt{d}}$$
 (1)

where d is the degree of g and, for any arbitrary polynomial, $P(X) = \sum_{i \ge 0} p_i X^i$, we define $||P|| := \left(\sum_{i \ge 0} |p_i|^2\right)^{1/2}$. In [2] MIGNOTTE proved that for any divisor g of f,

$$||g|| \le 2^d ||f||. \tag{2}$$

(See Section 2 of [3] for lots of other related inequalities.)

As the smallest factor of a polynomial f is irreducible and has degree $\leq n/2$ (where n = degree of f) we see that the factoring algorithm described in [1] can be implemented under the assumption that there exists a factor g of f with

^{*} Supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMS-8610730.

$$\|g\| \le \min \left\{ 2^{n/2} \|f\|, e^{-1} \left(\frac{e}{2} \|f\| (n + \sqrt{8n} + 4) \right)^{1 + \sqrt{n/2}} \right\}.$$

MIGNOTTE has also shown that for a given integer polynomial g, there exists an integer polynomial f, of degree around $d^2 \log d$, such that the 2^d in (2) cannot be replaced by $(2 - \varepsilon)^d$. However a careful examination of (2) leads one to realize that the inequality is probably not sharp if the degree of g is greater than, say, two-thirds of the degree of f. For, if f = gh then one should expect a bound on the coefficients of g of roughly the same order of magnitude as the bound on the coefficients of f. This indeed follows from our main result:

Theorem. If f(X) and g(X) are polynomials with complex coefficients, of degree n and d respectively, such that (i) g(X) divides f(X), and (ii) $|f(0)| = |g(0)| \neq 0$, then

$$\|g\| \le \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-d} {d \choose j}^2\right)^{1/2} \|f\|.$$
 (3)

Remark. That $f(0) \neq 0$ in (ii) simply means that we have removed any powers of X dividing f(X) — clearly this does not affect the result. That |f(0)| = |g(0)| in (ii) prohibits one from artificially multiplying g by a large constant.

As a consequence of the theorem we have

Corollary. If f(X) and g(X) are polynomials with integer coefficients such that g divides f then

$$\|g\| \le \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)^n \|f\|,$$
 (4)

where n is the degree of f.

For an arbitrary divisor g of f, (4) improves on (2). It is thus of interest to determine the smallest β such that the estimate

$$||g|| \le \beta^{n(1+o(1))} ||f||, \quad n = \deg f$$

holds uniformly as $n \to \infty$, for all g dividing f. By (2), $\beta \le 2$ and (4) improves this to $\beta \le \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) \approx 1.61803...$ We use the following lemma to find a non-trivial lower bound on β :

Lemma. If f(X) and g(X) are polynomials satisfying (i) and (ii) of the Theorem, and the coefficients of g are all non-negative, then

$$\beta \ge (|g|/|f|)^{1/\deg f},\tag{5}$$

where, for an arbitrary polynomial $P(X) = \sum_{i \ge 0} p_i X^i$, we define $|P| := \sum_{i \ge 0} |p_i|$.

If we choose $g(X) = 1 + cX + c^2X^2 + ... + c^{d-1}X^{d-1}$ and $f(X) = 1 - c^dX^d$ for some positive real number c and integer $d \ge 1$, then $\beta \ge ((1 - c^d)/(1 - c)(1 + c^d))^{1/d}$ by the Lemma. The choice d = 5, c = 0.8846 leads to $\beta \ge 1.208...$

Acknowledgements: This paper forms part of the author's doctoral thesis completed under the supervision of Dr. Paulo Ribenboim at Queen's University in 1987. I would like to thank Professor Ribenboim, as well as Greg Fee and Mike Monagan, with whom I had relevant discussions.

2. The Proof of the Theorem

Define a map $\Phi \colon \mathbb{C}[X] \to \mathbb{C}[X]$ by

$$\Phi(f(X)) = f(X) \prod_{\substack{f(\alpha) = 0 \\ |\alpha| < 1}} \alpha\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}X - 1}{X - \alpha}\right)$$

where the product counts each of any multiple roots. In [2], MIGNOTTE observed that

$$\|(\bar{\alpha}X - 1)P(X)\| = \|(X - \alpha)P(X)\|$$

for any polynomial P(X) and complex number α , and so

$$||g||/||f|| = \left(\prod_{\substack{(f|g)(a) = 0 \\ |a| < 1}} |a|\right) ||\phi(g)||/||\phi(f)||, \tag{6}$$

for any polynomials f and g satisfying (i) and (ii). Clearly (3) will follow from this equation if (3) holds with f replaced by $\Phi(f)$ and g replaced by $\Phi(g)$. Thus we may henceforth assume

(iii) All roots of f(X) lie on or outside the unit circle.

So suppose that f and g satisfy (i), (ii) and (iii) above. The coefficient of X^{d-j} in g(X) is given by the leading coefficient of g times the sum, over all j-subsets of the d roots of g(X), of the product of those j roots. Now, as each root of g(X) lies on or outside the unit circle, this has magnitude less than or equal to $\binom{d}{j}$ times the leading coefficient of g times the absolute value of the product of all the roots of g(X), which equals $\binom{d}{j}|g(0)|$. Therefore, by (ii),

$$g(X)$$
 is majorized by $|f(0)|(1+X)^d$. (7)

(The power series $\sum_{i\geqslant 0} u_i X^i$ is said to be majorized by $\sum_{i\geqslant 0} v_i X^i$ if $|u_i|\leqslant v_i$ for each i.)

Remark. (2) follows immediately from (7), as $|f(0)| \le ||f||$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{d} {d \choose j}^2 = {2d \choose d} \le 2^{2d}.$

We now use a different method to majorize g(X): Define

$$h(X) = f(X)/g(X) = c \prod_{i=1}^{n-d} (X - \alpha_i).$$

Thus

$$1/h(X) = 1/\left(h(0)\prod_{i=1}^{n-d}(1-X\alpha_i^{-1})\right).$$

Now, as each a_i^{-1} lies on or inside the unit circle (by (iii)), thus the power series $1/(1 - X a_i^{-1})$ is majorized by 1/(1 - X). Therefore, as |h(0)| = 1 (by (ii)), we see that 1/h(X) is majorized by $1/(1 - X)^{n-d}$. Now, by definition, g(X) = (1/h(X))f(X) and so

$$g(X)$$
 is majorized by $\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} |f_j| X^j\right) / (1-X)^{n-d}$ (8)

where f(X): = $\sum_{j=0}^{n} f_j X^j$. By expanding this product we deduce that

$$|g_m| \le \sum_{j=0}^m |f_j| {m-j+n-d-1 \choose m-j}$$
 (9)

for each m = 0, 1, ..., d where $g(X) := \sum_{m=0}^{d} g_m X^m$.

Now, from (7), as $|f(0)| \le ||f||$,

$$\sum_{m=2d-n+1}^{d} |g_m|^2 \le ||f||^2 \left(\sum_{m=2d-n+1}^{d} {d \choose m}^2 \right) = ||f||^2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-d-1} {d \choose j}^2 \right)$$

using the change of variable j = d - m. So in order to prove (3) we need only show

$$\sum_{m=0}^{2d-n} |g_m|^2 \le ||f||^2 \binom{d}{n-d}^2.$$
 (10)

For convenience write u = 2d - n and v = n - d - 1. For each $0 \le i, j \le u$ define

$$d_{i,j} = \sum_{r=0}^{u-j} {r+v \choose v} {r+v+j-i \choose v}$$

and

$$e_i = \sum_{j=0}^{u} d_{i,j}.$$

Note that $d_{i,j} \le d_{0,j}$ for each i and j and so $e_i \le e_0$. Therefore, by (9),

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m=0}^{u} |g_{m}|^{2} & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{u} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} |f_{i}| \binom{m-i+v}{v} \right)^{2} = \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^{u} d_{i, i} |f_{i}|^{2} + 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq u} d_{i, j} |f_{i}| |f_{j}| \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{u} e_{i} |f_{i}|^{2} \leq e_{0} \, \|f\|^{2} \end{split}$$

as $2|f_i||f_j| \le |f_i|^2 + |f_j|^2$. But then (10) follows as

$$\begin{split} e_0 &= \sum_{r=0}^{u} \binom{v+r}{v} \sum_{j=0}^{u-r} \binom{v+r+j}{v} \leqslant \\ &\leq \binom{v+u+1}{v+1} \sum_{r=0}^{u} \binom{v+r}{v} = \binom{v+u+1}{v+1}^2 = \binom{d}{n-d}^2. \end{split}$$

3. Upper and Lower Bounds for β

Proof of the Lemma: For an arbitary polynomial P, we note the inequalities

$$||P|| \le |P| \le (1 + \deg P) ||P||$$

which are given in [3]; also that $|P^k| \leq |P|^k$, with equality whenever the coefficients of P are all non-negative.

So suppose that f and g satisfy (i) and (ii) above, and that the coefficients of g are all non-negative. Then, for any positive integer k,

$$||g^k||/||f^k|| \ge |g^k|/|f^k| (1 + \deg(g^k)) \ge (|g|/|f|)^k (1 + k \deg(g)).$$

and so

$$\log \beta \geqslant \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\deg f^k} \log (\|g^k\|/\|f^k\|) \geqslant \frac{1}{\deg f} \log (|g|/|f|).$$

Sketch of the proof of the Corollary: After dividing f and g by any powers of X that divide them, and multiplying g through by f(0)/g(0), the resulting polynomials, f and g, satisfy (i) and (ii) of the Theorem. The result thus follows from proving the inequality

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-d} \binom{d}{j}^2 \le \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)^{2n} \tag{11}$$

for all positive $n > d \ge 1$.

To prove (11) we make repeated use of Stirling's formula in the form

$$1 < n! (2 \pi n)^{-1/2} (n/e)^{-n} < e^{1/12n}$$
:

If $d \le 2n/3$ then the left-hand side of (11) is bounded above by $\sum_{j=0}^{d} \binom{d}{j}^2 = \binom{2d}{d}$, and (11) follows from an easy application of Stirling's formula. If 2n/3 < d < n then the left-hand side of (11) is bounded above by $(n-d+1)\binom{d}{n-d}^2$, and this expression is maximized when $d = \left(\frac{1+1/\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)n + O(1)$; (11) then follows from Stirling's formula.

References

^[1] Landau, S.: Factoring polynomials quickly. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 34, 3-8 (1987).

^[2] MIGNOTTE, M.: Some useful bounds. In: BUCHBERGER, B., et al. (eds.). Computer Algebra, Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, 259—263. Wien—New York: Springer 1982.

[3] MIGNOTTE, M.: An inequality about irreducible factors of integer polynomials. J. Number Theory 30, 156—166 (1988).

A. Granville School of Mathematics The Institute for Advanced Study Princeton, NJ 08540, U.S.A.

