

ASSIGNMENT 4

Egregious error in Devoir 3 solutions: alternative solution to 2(b). We wrote:

“Then  $a(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . We are assuming  $x \not\equiv y \pmod{m}$ , so  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , contradicting  $(a, m) = 1$ .”

Of course,  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  does not necessarily imply that  $a \equiv 0$  or  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , though this is true if  $m$  is prime. For example  $2 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . We should have written the following:

If  $E' \subseteq E$ , there exists  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \not\equiv y \pmod{m}$  such that  $ax + b \equiv ay + b \pmod{m}$ , that is  $ax \equiv ay \pmod{m}$ . Now  $(a, m) = 1$  so  $aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  for some  $a'$ . Then  $aa'x \equiv aa'y \pmod{m}$ , that is  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$ , a contradiction.

5. (1 point.) Any integer  $n \equiv \pm \ell \pmod{10}$  for some  $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , so  $n^2 \equiv \ell^2 \pmod{10}$ , and  $\ell^2 = 0, 1, 4, 5, 6$  or  $9 \pmod{10}$ .

6. (1 point.) We claim that  $4^n \equiv 4$  or  $6 \pmod{10}$  ( $n > 0$ ). This is true for  $n = 1, 2$ . Suppose it's true for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $4^{k+1} = 4 \cdot 4^k \equiv 4 \cdot 4 \equiv 6$  or  $4 \cdot 6 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$ . Our claim follows by induction.

7. (1 point.)  $(n + 1)^3 - n^3 = n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1 - n^3 = 3(n^2 + n) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

9. (1 point.)  $3^6 \equiv (3^2)^3 \equiv 2^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ ,  $\{3, 3^2, 3^3, 3^4, 3^5, 3^6\} \equiv \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \pmod{7}$ .

10. (1 point.) If  $m = 2k + 1$ , the sum is  $0 + 1 + m - 1 + 2 + m - 2 + \dots + k + m - k \equiv km \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .

11. (2 points.) If  $(r, m) = 1$  then  $(m - r, m) = 1$ , for if  $p \mid m, m - r$  then  $p \mid r$ . If  $r = m - r$  then  $(m, r) = r = 1$  implies  $m = 2$ . Therefore a reduced system of residues modulo  $m > 2$  has the form  $\{r_1, m - r_1, r_2, m - r_2, \dots, r_n, m - r_n\}$ , and the sum over this set is congruent to  $r_1 + (m - r_1) + \dots + r_n + (m - r_n) \equiv nm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .

12. (2 points.) Obviously  $\{r \pmod{p} : (r, p) = 1\} = \{1 \pmod{p}, 2 \pmod{p}, \dots, p - 1 \pmod{p}\}$ , so by Wilson's Theorem,

$$r_1 r_2 \cdots r_{p-1} \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p - 1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

13. (1 point.)  $m = 6, a = 1, b = 1, E = \{1, 5\}, E' = \{2, 6\}$ .

15. (3 points.) By inspection, (a)  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ , (b)  $x \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$ , that is  $x \equiv 6$  or  $15 \pmod{18}$ , (f)  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , (c) has no solution since  $12x \equiv 0 \not\equiv 9 \pmod{6}$ , (h) has no solution since  $20x \equiv 0 \not\equiv 30 \pmod{4}$ , (g) has no solution for otherwise we have integers  $x, y$  such that  $20x = 30y + 4$ , that is  $10(2x - 3y) = 4$ , but 4 is not a multiple of 10. In general  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $ax - my = b$  for integers  $x, y$  if and only if  $(a, m)$  divides  $b$  (the solutions is unique modulo  $m$  if  $b = (a, m)$ ), and we use the Euclidean algorithm to find  $x, y$ .

(d)  $x \equiv 47 \pmod{52}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 52 &= 23 \cdot 2 + 6 \\ 23 &= 6 \cdot 3 + 5 \\ 6 &= 5 \cdot 1 + 1 \\ 1 &= 6 - 5 \\ &= 6 - (23 - 6 \cdot 3) \\ &= 6 \cdot 4 - 23 \\ &= (52 - 23 \cdot 2) \cdot 4 - 23 \\ &= 52 \cdot 4 - 23 \cdot 9 \\ 41 &= 52 \cdot (4 \cdot 41) - 23 \cdot 9 \cdot 41 \\ 41 &\equiv 23 \cdot 9 \cdot (-41) \equiv 23 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \equiv 23 \cdot 99 \equiv 23 \cdot 47 \pmod{52}. \end{aligned}$$

(e)  $x \equiv 5, 35, 65, \text{ or } 95 \pmod{120}$ .  $68x \equiv 100 \pmod{120}$  if and only if  $17x \equiv 25 \pmod{30}$  if and only if  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{30}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 30 &= 17 + 13 \\
 17 &= 13 + 4 \\
 13 &= 4 \cdot 3 + 1 \\
 1 &= 13 - 4 \cdot 3 \\
 &= 13 - (17 - 13) \cdot 3 \\
 &= 13 \cdot 4 - 17 \cdot 3 \\
 &= (30 - 17) \cdot 4 - 17 \cdot 3 \\
 &= 30 \cdot 4 - 17 \cdot 7 \\
 25 &= 30 \cdot 4 \cdot 25 - 17 \cdot 7 \cdot 25 \\
 25 &\equiv 17 \cdot 7 \cdot (-25) \equiv 17 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \equiv 17 \cdot 5 \pmod{30}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Supplementary question.** (4 points.) For each  $r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , let  $r_*$  be the unique integer in  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $rr_* \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . (Thus  $r_{**} = r$ , of course  $r = r_*$  is possible.) Then

$$1 \equiv \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m \\ (r,m)=1}} rr_* \equiv \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m \\ (r,m)=1}} r^2 \pmod{m}.$$

**Bonus question.** We claim that

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m \\ (r,m)=1}} r \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{m} & \text{if } m = 4, p^y \text{ or } 2p^y \\ 1 \pmod{m} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The case  $m = 2$  is trivial. Assume  $m > 2$ . Now

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m \\ (r,m)=1}} r \equiv \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m \\ (r,m)=1 \\ r \neq r_*}} r \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m \\ (r,m)=1 \\ r=r_*}} r \equiv \prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m \\ (r,m)=1 \\ r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}}} r \pmod{m}.$$

First consider the cases  $m = 4, p^y, 2p^y$  for some odd prime  $p$ . If  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$ . If  $p > 2$  and  $(x-1)(x+1) \equiv x^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^y}$  then  $p$  divides  $x+1$  or  $x-1$ , but not both (for otherwise  $p$  divides  $(x+1)-(x-1) = 2$ ), so  $x = p^y + 1$  or  $p^y - 1$ . These are also the only solutions to  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2p^y}$ , for in this case we must have  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^y}$ . Therefore the product is  $1(-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$  in these cases. For all other  $m$  we can prove our claim in two ways.

*Alternative 1.* Choose any  $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  such that  $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Then  $\{r \pmod{m} : r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}\} = \{ar \pmod{m} : r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}\}$ . To see this, note that  $(ar) \equiv a^2 r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  if  $r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , and  $ar_1 \equiv ar_2 \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $r_1 \equiv a^2 r_2 \equiv a^2 r_2 \equiv r_2 \pmod{m}$ . In fact  $\{r \pmod{m} : r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}\}$  has the form

$$\{r_1 \pmod{m}, ar_1 \pmod{m}, r_2 \pmod{m}, ar_2 \pmod{m}, \dots, r_N \pmod{m}, ar_N \pmod{m}\},$$

for  $ar_i \equiv r_j \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $r_i \equiv a^2 r_j \equiv ar_j \pmod{m}$ , and  $ar_i \equiv r_i \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $a \equiv ar_i^2 \equiv r_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , but  $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Then

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq m \\ (r,m)=1 \\ r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}}} r \equiv r_1 ar_1 \cdot r_2 ar_2 \cdots r_N ar_N \equiv ar_1^2 \cdot ar_2^2 \cdots ar_N^2 \equiv a^N \pmod{m}.$$

Similarly, if  $b \not\equiv a, 1 \pmod m$  and  $b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ , then this product is congruent to  $b^N \pmod m$ . Now if  $N = 2n + 1$  is odd, then  $a^N \equiv a(a^2)^n \equiv a \pmod m$ , and likewise  $b^N \equiv b \pmod m$ , so  $a \equiv a^N \equiv b^N \equiv b \pmod m$ , a contradiction. Hence  $N$  is even, and  $a^N \equiv b^N \equiv 1 \pmod m$ .

We have proved that the product is  $1 \pmod m$  if there are at least three distinct solutions modulo  $m$  to  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$  ( $\pm 1 \pmod m$  and  $a \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod m$ ). Indeed, if  $m \neq 1, 2, 4, p^v, 2p^v$ , then  $m = \alpha\beta$  for some coprime  $\alpha, \beta > 2$ . Let  $u, w$  be integers such that  $\alpha u - \beta w = -2$ , and let  $a = \alpha u + 1 = \beta w - 1$ . Then  $a^2 = uwm - (\alpha u - \beta w) - 1 = uwm + 2 - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ . Suppose  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod m$ . Then  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod \alpha, \beta$ , so either  $\alpha u \equiv 0 \pmod \beta$ , hence  $-2 = \alpha u - \beta w \equiv 0 \pmod \beta$ , or  $\beta w \equiv 0 \pmod \alpha$ , hence  $2 = \beta w - \alpha u \equiv 0 \pmod \alpha$ . Then  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  divides 2, a contradiction. Hence  $a \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod m$ . Our claim is proved.

*Alternative 2.* If  $r$  is a solution to  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ , then so is  $-r$ , and  $r \not\equiv -r \pmod m$  ( $m > 2$ ). If  $\pm r_1 \pmod m, \pm r_2 \pmod m, \dots, \pm r_N \pmod m$  are all of the distinct solutions modulo  $m$  to the congruence  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ , then the product is congruent to

$$r_1(-r_1) \cdots r_N(-r_N) \equiv (-1)^N \pmod m,$$

where  $2N$  is the number of distinct solutions to the congruence  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ . We will prove that  $N$  is even, and our claim follows. In the cases  $m \neq 1, 2, 4, p^v, 2p^v$ , we have given three distinct solutions  $\pm 1 \pmod m, a \pmod m$  to  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ . Of course  $-a \pmod m$  is another solution, so in fact we have at least four.

Suppose  $x_1 \pmod m, \dots, x_n \pmod m$  are solutions such that

$$x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} \equiv x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_\ell} \pmod m$$

if and only if  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} = \{j_1, \dots, j_\ell\} (\subseteq \{1, \dots, n\})$ . Let

$$S_n = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} x_i \pmod m : I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

(define the product over  $I = \emptyset$  to be 1). Suppose  $y \pmod m \notin S_n$  and  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ . If  $y x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} \equiv x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_\ell} \pmod m$  for some  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}, \{j_1, \dots, j_\ell\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $y \equiv y(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})^2 \equiv x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_\ell} \pmod m$ , that is  $y \pmod m \in S_n$ , a contradiction. If  $y x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} \equiv y x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_\ell} \pmod m$ , then multiplying by  $y$  we get  $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} \equiv x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_\ell} \pmod m$ , a contradiction. Hence

$$S_{2n} = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} x_i \pmod m, y \prod_{i \in I} x_i \pmod m : I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

is a set of distinct solutions to  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$ . We have our set  $S_4 = \{\pm 1, \pm a\}$  of four distinct solutions, and the set of all solutions is  $S_{2n}$  for some  $n$ . Now  $\#S_{2n} = 2^s \#S_4 = 2^{s+2}$  for some  $s$ . Hence  $N$  is even.

*Alternative 3.* We can actually determine the exact number of solutions modulo  $m$  to  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$  with less work. Write  $m = 2^{\nu_0} p_1^{\nu_1} \cdots p_t^{\nu_t}$ , where  $\nu_0 \geq 2$  or  $t \geq 2$ . We will prove that the number of solutions is  $2^t$  if  $\nu_0 = 0$  or 1,  $2^{t+1}$  if  $\nu_0 = 2$ , and  $2^{t+2}$  if  $\nu_0 > 2$ .

Consider the case  $\nu_0 = 0, t \geq 2$ . Choose integers  $u_i, w_i$  such that  $u_i p_i^{\nu_i} + w_i m / p_i^{\nu_i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, t$ . Note that  $w_i m / p_i^{\nu_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{\nu_i}}$  and  $w_i m / p_i^{\nu_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p_j^{\nu_j}}$  if  $i \neq j$ . Thus if

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^{n_i} w_i m / p_i^{\nu_i}, \quad (n_i = 1 \text{ or } 2)$$

then  $y^2 \equiv (-1)^{2n_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i^{\nu_i}}$  for each  $i$ , therefore  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod m$  by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. If

$$y' = \sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^{n'_i} w_i m / p_i^{\nu_i}, \quad (n'_i = 1 \text{ or } 2)$$

and  $y' \equiv y \pmod{m}$ , then  $y' \equiv y \pmod{p_i^{v_i}}$  for each  $i$ , so  $(-1)^{n'_i} = (-1)^{n_i}$  for each  $i$ . Therefore the  $2^t$  combinations  $\pm w_1 m / p_1^{v_1} \pm \dots \pm w_t m / p_t^{v_t}$  are all distinct modulo  $m$ . Finally, there are no other solutions modulo  $m$ , for if  $z^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  then  $z^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p_i^{v_i}}$  for each  $i$ , so  $z \equiv y \pmod{m}$  for one of the solutions  $y$  we have already determined.

The other cases are similar. In the case  $v_0 = 1$ , there are the same number of solutions because there is only one solution modulo 2 to  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . In the case  $v_0 = 2$ , we have twice as many solutions because  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^2}$  obviously has two solutions modulo  $2^2$ . In the case  $v_0 > 2$ , we have four times as many solutions, because there are precisely four solutions modulo  $2^{v_0}$  to  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{v_0}}$ . For if  $(y+1)(y-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{v_0}}$ , then evidently 2 divides both  $y+1$  and  $y-1$ , but  $2^2$  cannot divide both  $y+1$  and  $y-1$ , for otherwise it divides the difference 2. It follows that  $y \equiv \pm 1, \pm(1 + 2^{v_0-1}) \pmod{2^{v_0}}$  are the only solutions, and they are clearly distinct modulo  $2^{v_0}$ .

*17 points, plus 3 points for a reasonable attempt at all questions = 20 points.*